

05-03-2022

D.1 and D.2

Land use permit for the Martinez Refinery Renewable Fuels Project at 150 Solano Way in Pacheco

Land use permit for the Phillips 66 Rodeo Renewable Project at 1380 San Pablo Ave in Rodeo

Letters received for Board consideration

Packet I

Pg 1	Valerie Carpenter, El Cerrito	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 2	Christopher Martin, Richmond	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 3	Linda Ostro, Rossmoor	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 4	Michael D'Adamo, Ph.D, Kensington	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 5	Bridget Wellerstein, MS. Ed., OTL, Lafayette	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 6	Daniel Rodriguez	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 7	Mady Martin, Richmond	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 8	Lynne Oliver, Richmond	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 9	Theresa Dixon, Urban Tilth, Richmond	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 10	Marti Roach	4/29/2022	oppose
Pg 11	Margaret C. Murray, Pinole	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 12	Sophie Van Ronsele, Richmond	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 13	Peter Freedman, El Cerrito	4/29/2022	oppose
Pg 14	Emily H. Hopkins, Walnut Creek	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 15	Susanna Marshland, Kensington	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 16	Jean Evans, Concord	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 17	Lisa Jackson	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 18	Arthur Clinton, El Cerrito	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 19	Michael Domagalski, Port Costa	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose
Pg 20	Marci Armstrong, Rodeo	4/29/2022 form-EIR	oppose

From: Firemonkey14 <[REDACTED]@net>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:17 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Sincerely,

Valerie Carpenter

El Cerrito, CA

From: christopher martin <[REDACTED]@contra-costa.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:29 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs.

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Vote to reject. Thank you.

Christopher Martin

Richmond, CA. 94805

From: Linda Ostro <l
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:40 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: RE: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Thank you!

A concerned citizen,

Linda Ostro, Rossmoor resident, Walnut Creek

From: Michael D'Adamo < >
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:42 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Decision on Biofuels

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

In addition, the fossil fuel industry is seeking ways to survive. While that is completely understandable, it is time to recognize that putting any GHGs in the atmosphere has to end to prevent catastrophic conditions that threaten the existence of our species.

Sincerely,

Michael D'Adamo, Ph.D.

Kensington resident

From: Bridget Wellerstein <
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 8:55 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Biofuels EIR

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Sincerely,

Bridget Wellerstein M.S. Ed., OTL

(Lafayette)

Stacey Boyd

From: Daniel Rodriguez <[redacted]@ail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 9:25 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Biofuels Projects

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

From: Mady Martin <[redacted]@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 9:45 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Protect Our Environment, reject land use permits

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Vote to reject. Thank you.

Mady M.

Resident of Richmond, CA. 94805

From: Lynne Olivier <lynneo2@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 9:46 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Land Use Permits

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

Please reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- **Failure to consider climate impacts**
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Sincerely,
Lynne Olivier

Richmond, CA 94805

From: theresa dixon
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 10:33 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

--
THERESA DIXON
Urban Tilt
CSA Procurement Manager
323 Brookside Dr
Richmond, California 94801
303-596-1048

June McHuen

From: Marti Roach
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Cc: martiroach@gmail.com
Subject: May 3rd BOS Meeting: Marathon and P66 EIRS

Importance: High

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

It is important to the community that you slow down the EIR process on the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Highly technical and well documented comments from multiple public interest, environmental justice and environmental groups have detailed failures in the EIR.

Remember the precautionary principle: in new projects where uncertainty over immediate and long-term impacts exist, responsible decision makers should exercise caution, and pause and review before leaping into new innovations that may prove disastrous.

Some of the failures of the EIR include:

- Not taking into account climate impacts (remember you have acknowledged we are in a climate emergency),
- Not taking into account the impacts of burning food for fuel as demand for feedstock production skyrockets,
- Risks and environmental degradation due to feedstock production,
- Risks related to safety and air quality due to the scale of these projects and potentially increased operational accidents like flaring, explosions and gas releases.

A more rigorous assessment is needed.

Thank you all for your service to our County,

-Marti Roach

cc: 070 0000

June McHuen

From: Margaret Murray ;mail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 10:52 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I am writing to you (for my very first time after living in Concord and now in Pinole for 40 years) to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's **Declaration of Climate Emergency** commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Margaret C. Murray
Pinole, CA

June McHuen

From: Sophie Van Ronsele
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:18 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

In community,

Sophie Van Ronsele
Richmond, CA

Sophie Van Ronsele
[\(All Pronouns\)](#)
 +1 415-216-3376

*"The future of our earth may depend upon the ability of all women
to identify and develop new definitions of power and
new patterns of relating across difference."
- Audre Lorde*

June McHuen

From: Peter Freedman
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:25 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Biofuels

Vote "No." on BioFuels. in Contra Costa County.
Peter Freedman

ETCENRUC
94530

June McHuen

From: Emily Hopkins <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:25 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments failed to address many of the specific points, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- Failure to provide an adequate project description
- Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen
- Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production
- Failure to consider climate impacts
- Failure to account for cumulative impacts
- Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments

The Contra Costa County's Declaration of Climate Emergency commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects must have a more thorough EIR.

And I believe analysis using current science on biofuels, including the social and human costs of shifting from food production to fuel production, will show that this shift is not in the immediate or long term interest of our local or global community.

Sincerely,

--

Emily H. Hopkins

Walnut Creek, CA 94598

<http://www.linkedin.com/in/emily1hopkins>

Mobile: 925.221.2225

June McHuen

From: Susanna M <[REDACTED]@contra-costa.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:30 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

--

Susanna Marshland, Kensington 94707

June McHuen

From: Jean Evan: [REDACTED]@contra.org>
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:50 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I am a Concord resident and I am very concerned about the environmental impact of the proposed biofuels projects. I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Thank you,

Jean Evans, [REDACTED] Concord, CA 94518

From: Lisa Jackson · >
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 11:57 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Please Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

As a resident of unincorporated Contra Costa County, I am requesting that you reject the land use permits for Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects and require an additional environmental impact review. There are serious concerns from many environmental groups on aspects of the project not addressed by the draft EIRs. There are long term consequences for the people of your county and even wider impacts for the planet, if these projects are adopted without fully addressing those concerns around safety and land use.

The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Thank you,

Lisa Jackson.

June McHuen

From: Arthur Clinton
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 12:54 PM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- Failure to provide an adequate project description
- Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen
- Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production
- Failure to consider climate impacts
- Failure to account for cumulative impacts
- Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments

The Contra Costa County's Declaration of Climate Emergency commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects **must** have a more thorough EIR.

Thank you.

Arthur Clinton, Jr.

El Cerrito

From: Michael Domagalski
Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 1:18 PM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Reject Renewable Fuel Projects' EIRs

Dear Contra Costa Supervisors,

I urge you to reject the land use permits and require additional environmental impact reviews for the Phillips 66 and Marathon Refinery biofuels projects. Joint comments on the draft EIRs from multiple environmental groups included detailed, specific points about many technical aspects of the project. Responses to these comments **failed to address many of the specific points**, especially those relating to safety and land use. The final EIRs inadequately address the following concerns:

- *Failure to provide an adequate project description*
- *Failure to account for safety and air pollution concerns from potentially increased operational upsets and hazards such as flaring, explosions, gas releases, and increased use of hydrogen*
- *Failure to account for impacts of burning food for fuel due to human food used as "feedstock" in biofuel production*
- *Failure to consider climate impacts*
- *Failure to account for cumulative impacts*
- ***Failure to Comply with the CEQA Requirement to Respond to Public Comments***

The Contra Costa County's [Declaration of Climate Emergency](#) commits to fighting climate change and to protecting the health and safety of vulnerable residents. These projects *must* have a more thorough EIR.

Michael Domagalski
Port Costa, CA 94569