
From: Jen Borcic <jen@borcic.net>
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 8:36 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Cc: Rosa Fallon; Milton; Laura Wright
Subject: Board of Sup meeting Today Item D6

Regarding Agenda Item D6

Soil Samples should have been the first thing they did not a paper audit. The declarations of the Whistle Blowers was given to the Board 2 1/2 years ago when we asked for soil samples.

Whistle Blower, Anthony Smith was assigned to oversee the soil remediation project in the crawl space under Bldg 351A. Building 351A was previously used by the Navy's Radiological Defense Laboratory and was confirmed during out characterization surveys as containing radioactive contaminants exceeding release levels. Test results of the post remediation soil samples showed some of the highest radioactive readings ever seen on the Hunters Point site. **They were instructed to destroy evidence.** The fraudulent sampling at Bldg 351A was not an isolated incident; it was the first of many. The switching of real samples with the fake clean soil happened pretty much every day during his last year and a half or more at the shipyard. In 2006 they had increased the speed of the conveyor belt system far faster than had been approved. The rad detectors were also silenced. It was operated at 6 to 9 times the approved belt speed and with no radiation detector alarms operating. Soil was **deemed "cleared" and sent in trucks to go off site.** Then the truck trailers would fail the additional Portal Monitoring screening. They were then restricted to scanning the truck trailers of soil thru the bed and side of the truck, which the instruments were not effective beyond 6 inches. **At no time was he informed that any effort was made to alert the towns, counties, landfills, and others that received the large amount of soil that was most likely radioactive but labeled as cleared of radioactive contamination.**

Whistle Blower, Susan Andrews was a Portal Monitor that stuck strictly to the procedure and turned trucks around if they failed to clear the Portal Monitor. However, she was told she was failing too many trucks. She states that **in Sept 2011, Tetra Tech simply changed the setting of the Portal Monitor to lower the sensitivity. For Years the re-set sensitivity level was 3 sigma plus mean background level, in Sept 2011 Tetra Tech relaxed the sensitivity to 8 sigma plus mean background before the truck load would fail the screening.** When questioned they said that with so many off site shipments expected, if the failure rate was high, it would slow down the clearance of soils. Directives that fundamentally made the Portal Monitor useless to prevent radioactive materials from leaving Hunters Point. After 2011 all trucks that didn't pass the Portal Monitor were went thru a new procedure of testing. **Nearly every single truck load of soil that failed the Portal Monitor was released to the public** because the restricted non scaffold hand scans that scanned thru the metal trailer beds almost never were able to locate the high radioactive material, as they had in the past when using the scaffolding to scan over the top of the side of the trailer. Also, the trucks were hosed down before entering the portal monitor. Water masks radiation - the Portal Monitor would also not be effective on rainy days.

Whistle Blower Arthur Jahr with 25 years experience working in the nuclear industry. Senior HP at HPNS. He observed laborers swinging the ludum sensors at a height and speed that were both far excessive, so that the sensors were largely ineffective for the purposes due to the misuse. When he reported this he was fired.

Whistle Blower, Robert McLean worked 30 years as a radiation worker. After reporting that the conveyor belts were running at excessive speeds - he was told to continue to run the conveyor belt at the excessive speed rather than shut the belt process down. The belt system was made much less effective running at faster speeds than the approved slower speed. He discovered that the belt had been locked so that the belt speed could not be changed.

Declarations of the Whistle Blowers https://www.dropbox.com/sh/1gfn7jaofc3c5l6/AAD7-9qzmbhhUTkGvpN4p_Xua?dl=0

Please Consider the residents of Pittsburg. This being a high wind area, soil blows down into our homes and into our lungs, our surrounding soil.

This landfill should be changed to a Class III. This kind of thing can happen was to easily without anyone ever knowing. Too many people live in close proximity to this landfill and with the high winds it makes the situation even more dangerous.

Thank you, Jennette and Robert Borcic 2252 Jacqueline Drive Pittsburg. Also, Rosa and Chris Fallon 2235 Carmel Ct, Pittsburg, CA

From: DARIO GALICIA <
Sent: Tuesday, December 15, 2020 9:46 AM
To: Clerk of the Board
Subject: Keller Canyon landfill D6

Hi !

I hope is not to late !

My name :

Ruben Galicia

Address :

2203 Jacqueline Dr, Pittsburg CA

I'm very frustrated because seems that no one is doing the right thing to close or relocate Keller Canyon landfill.

I been complaining sending email but the only answer I get is telling me that they will investigate and get back ?

Now I'm requesting to please consider changing Keller Canyon to a CLASS III landfill instead CLASS II or have them relocate some were else.

Thanks and I really hope that someone make it happen.

Ruben Galicia