



CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION & DEVELOPMENT
300 Muir Road
Martinez, CA 94553

Telephone: (925) 674-7878

TO: Members, Board of Supervisors

FROM: Maureen Toms, Deputy Director
By: John Cunningham, Principal Planner 

DATE: September 12, 2017

SUBJECT: Agenda Item D 5 (Senate Bill 595/Bridge Tolls) - Request to accept new material under the provisions of the Better Government Ordinance

Background

County Code Section 25-2.206 (Better Government Ordinance) requires that *"all such staff material must be distributed to the policy body and be made available to the public 96 hours before the scheduled meeting."*

The code allows the policy body, by a three-fourths vote, to waive these limits, "when, in its judgment, it is essential to do so, providing that the County Administrator, appropriate Department head, or staff member furnishes to the Board of Supervisors or other body a written explanation as to why the material could not be provided to the Board or other policy and the general public within the above time limits."

Discussion

The Transportation, Water, and Infrastructure Committee, consistent with their referral, "Review legislative matters on transportation, water, and infrastructure" is monitoring Senate Bill 595 (Beall): Metropolitan Transportation Commission: Toll Bridge Revenues.

Due to the rapidly changing nature of the subject bill it was not possible for staff to include the latest information in the report submitted to the Board of Supervisors.

We respectfully request that the Board: 1) waive the time limits on the availability of staff materials for agenda items; and 2) accept the additional material for consideration.
New material, attached to this memo, is as follows:

- The latest (9/8/17) version of Senate Bill 595

C: D. Twa, County Administrator
S. Anderson, County Counsel
S. Kowalewski, Deputy Director, Public Works



SB-595 Metropolitan Transportation Commission: toll bridge revenues: BART Inspector General: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority: high-occupancy toll lanes. (2017-2018)

Current Version: 09/08/17 - Amended Assembly

Compared to Version: 09/05/17 - Amended Assembly ▼

[Compare Versions](#) ⓘ

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) The San Francisco Bay area's strong economy and growing population are placing a tremendous burden on its aging transportation infrastructure. Between 2010 and 2040, the population is forecasted to grow by 2.3 million, while the number of jobs are projected to grow by 1.3 million.

(b) Traffic congestion on the region's seven state-owned toll bridges degrades the bay area's quality of life, impairs its economy, and shows no signs of abating. Between 2010 and 2015, combined volumes on the region's seven state-owned toll bridges grew by 11 percent, while volumes on just the Dumbarton Bridge, the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, and the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge grew by 20 percent.

(c) In 2015, five of the region's top 10 worst congested roadways were in the South Bay (San Mateo or Santa Clara Counties).

(d) In the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge corridor from Hercules to San Francisco, weekday traffic speeds average less than 35 mph from 5:35 a.m. until 7:50 p.m.

(e) Weekday congestion on the west approach to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge in the eastbound direction typically begins before 1 p.m. and continues until 9:30 p.m.

(f) Weekday northbound traffic congestion on State Highway Route 101 from Novato to Petaluma begins by 3 p.m. and typically lasts over three hours.

(g) Daily peak-hour traffic on State Highway Route 37 between Marin and Solano Counties jumped over 40 percent from 2010 to 2015.

(h) The region's only rail link across San Francisco Bay, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART), is 44 years old and faces multibillion-dollar capital funding shortfalls to accommodate growing ridership and achieve a state of good repair. Meanwhile, BART ridership is at record levels, exceeding 128 million in fiscal year 2016, a 27-percent increase from fiscal year 2010.

(i) Annual ridership on ferries from Alameda, Oakland, and Vallejo to San Francisco and South San Francisco more than doubled between 2010 and 2016, from 1.1 million to 2.5 million.

(j) Ridership on the weekday transbay bus service provided by the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District rose 33 percent between 2012 and 2016.

(k) Truck traffic in and out of the Port of Oakland grew by 33 percent since 2000 and contributes to worsening congestion on the region's bridges and roadways. An estimated 99 percent of the containerized goods moving through northern California are loaded or discharged at the port.

(l) The last time bay area voters had the opportunity to approve new funding for improvements in the bridge corridors was in 2004, when voters approved Regional Measure 2, a \$1 toll increase.

(m) To improve the quality of life and sustain the economy of the San Francisco Bay area, it is the intent of the Legislature to require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to place on the ballot a measure authorizing the voters to approve an expenditure plan to improve mobility and enhance travel options on the bridges and

bridge corridors to be paid for by an increase in the toll rate on the seven state-owned bridges within its jurisdiction.

SEC. 2. Article 7 (commencing with Section 28840) is added to Chapter 3 of Part 2 of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code, to read:

Article 7. The Office of the BART Inspector General

28840. (a) There is hereby created in the district an independent Office of the BART Inspector General to ensure that the district makes effective use of bridge toll revenue and other revenue and operates efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with applicable federal and state laws.

(b) (1) The board shall nominate three persons to the Governor who shall appoint one of the three persons nominated by the board to serve as the BART Inspector General for an initial four-year term. The board shall have the option to renew the term at will. The BART Inspector General shall be removed from office by the board, subject to approval of the Governor, only if either of the following occur:

(A) A two-thirds majority of the members of the board votes for removal.

(B) The Inspector General violates a federal or state law or regulation, a local ordinance, or a policy or practice of the authority relative to ethical practices, including, but not limited to, the acceptance of gifts or contributions.

(2) The reasons for removal of the Inspector General shall be stated in writing and shall include the basis for removal. The document stating the reasons for dismissal shall be deemed a public document and posted on the district's Internet Web site.

(c) Within one year of the operative date of this article, the board shall nominate three persons to serve as the first BART Inspector General to be appointed pursuant to subdivision (b).

28841. The duties and responsibilities of the BART Inspector General shall include, but are not limited to, all of the following:

(a) To examine the operating practices of the district to identify fraud, waste, and opportunities for efficiencies in the administration of programs and operations.

(b) To ensure the BART administration, the board of directors, and the public are fully informed of his or her findings and recommendations.

(c) To identify opportunities to improve the data used to determine project resource allocations.

(d) To conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits and investigations relating to the district's programs and operations, including, but not limited to, toll-funded programs.

(e) To identify best practices in the delivery of capital projects and recommend policies to enable the district to adopt these practices when practicable.

(f) To recommend policies promoting efficiency in the administration of programs and operations.

(g) To review and recommend best practices that the district should follow to maintain positive and productive relations with its employees and the collective bargaining units representing those employees.

28842. The Office of the BART Inspector General shall receive one million dollars (\$1,000,000) from an allocation of bridge toll revenue from the Bay Area Toll Authority. In the second and subsequent years of operation of the office, the authority may increase the amount of funding allocated for this purpose to the extent funds are requested and justified by the office and can be accommodated in the authority's budget.

28843. The BART Inspector General shall report at least annually to the board of directors and the Legislature with a summary of his or her findings, investigations, and audits. The summary shall be posted on the district's Internet Web site and shall otherwise be made available to the public upon its release to the board. The summary shall include, but need not be limited to, significant problems discovered by the BART Inspector General and whether recommendations of the BART Inspector General relative to investigations and audits have been implemented by the district.

28844. Any investigatory file compiled by the BART Inspector General is an investigatory file compiled by a local law enforcement agency subject to disclosure pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 6254 of the Government Code.

28845. This article shall become operative upon an affirmative vote of the residents of the City and County of San Francisco and the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma to increase tolls pursuant to Section 30923 of the Streets and Highways Code on the bridges described in Section 30910 of the Streets and Highways Code.

SEC. 3. Section 149.6 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

149.6. (a) Notwithstanding Sections 149, 149.7, and 30800, and Section 21655.5 of the Vehicle Code, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) created by Part 12 (commencing with Section 100000) of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code may conduct, administer, and operate a value pricing program on any two of the transportation corridors included in the high-occupancy vehicle lane system in Santa Clara County in coordination with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and consistent with Section 21655.6 of the Vehicle Code.

(b) Notwithstanding Sections 149, 149.7 and 30800, and Section 21655.5 of the Vehicle Code, the VTA may conduct, administer, and operate a value pricing program on State Highway Route 101 in San Mateo County in coordination with the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County ~~created pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 6500) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code~~ and with the San Mateo County Transportation Authority ~~created pursuant to Division 12.5 (commencing and consistent with Section 131000) of the Public Utilities Code, as prescribed in subdivision (f), paragraph (3) of subdivision (g), and paragraph (1) of subdivision (h).~~ *21655.6 of the Vehicle Code.*

(c) (1) VTA, under the circumstances described in subdivisions (a) and (b), may direct and authorize the entry and use of those high-occupancy vehicle lanes by single-occupant vehicles for a fee. The fee structure shall be established from time to time by the authority. A high-occupancy vehicle lane may only be operated as a high-occupancy toll (HOT) lane during the hours that the lane is otherwise restricted to use by high-occupancy vehicles.

(2) VTA shall enter into a cooperative agreement with the Bay Area Toll Authority to operate and manage the electronic toll collection system.

(d) With the consent of the department, VTA shall establish appropriate performance measures, such as speed or travel times, for the purpose of ensuring optimal use of the HOT lanes by high-occupancy vehicles without adversely affecting other traffic on the state highway system. Unrestricted access to the lanes by high-occupancy vehicles shall be available at all times, except that those high-occupancy vehicles may be required to have an electronic transponder or other electronic device for enforcement purposes. At least annually, the department shall audit the performance during peak traffic hours and report the results of that audit at meetings of the program management team.

(e) Single-occupant vehicles that are certified or authorized by the authority for entry into, and use of, the high-occupancy vehicle lanes in Santa Clara ~~County, and, if applicable, County and~~ San Mateo County ~~as provided in subdivision (b).~~ are exempt from Section 21655.5 of the Vehicle Code, and the driver shall not be in violation of the Vehicle Code because of that entry and use.

(f) VTA shall carry out ~~the program~~ *a value pricing program established pursuant to this section* in cooperation with the department pursuant to an agreement that addresses all matters related to design, construction, maintenance, and operation of state highway system facilities in connection with the value pricing program. ~~Any An agreement related to State Highway Route 101 in San Mateo County to carry out the program authorized pursuant to subdivision (b)~~ shall be subject to the review and approval by the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority.

(g) (1) Agreements between VTA, the department, and the Department of the California Highway Patrol shall identify the respective obligations and liabilities of those entities and assign them responsibilities relating to the program. The agreements entered into pursuant to this section shall be consistent with agreements between the department and the United States Department of Transportation relating to this program. The agreements shall include clear and concise procedures for enforcement by the Department of the California Highway Patrol of laws prohibiting the unauthorized use of the high-occupancy vehicle lanes, which may include the use of video enforcement. The agreements shall provide for reimbursement of state agencies, from revenues generated by the program, federal funds specifically allocated to the authority for the program by the federal government, or other funding sources that are not otherwise available to state agencies for transportation-related projects, for costs incurred in connection with the implementation or operation of the program.

(2) The revenues generated by the program shall be available to VTA for the direct expenses related to the operation (including collection and enforcement), maintenance, construction, and administration of the program. The VTA's administrative costs in the operation of the program shall not exceed 3 percent of the revenues.

(3) ~~All~~ (A) For a value pricing program established pursuant to subdivision (a), all remaining revenue generated by the program after expenditures made pursuant to paragraph (2) shall be used in the corridor from which the revenues were generated exclusively for the preconstruction, construction, and other related costs of high-occupancy vehicle facilities, transportation corridor improvements, and the improvement of transit service, including, but not limited to, support for transit operations pursuant to an expenditure plan adopted by the VTA.

~~(4) (B) To the extent the HOT lane operates in San Mateo County pursuant to this section, VTA, the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County, and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority shall, by agreement, determine how any~~ For a value pricing program established pursuant to subdivision (b), all remaining revenue generated by the HOT lane in San Mateo County, after deducting any costs incurred program after expenditures made pursuant to paragraph (2), (2) shall be used in the corridor from which the revenues were generated exclusively for the preconstruction, construction, and other related costs of high-occupancy vehicle facilities, transportation corridor improvements, and the improvement of transit service, including, but not limited to, support for transit operations pursuant to an expenditure plan adopted by the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority.

(h) (1) The VTA may issue bonds, refunding bonds, or bond anticipation notes, at any time to finance construction and construction-related expenditures necessary to implement the a value pricing program established pursuant to subdivision (a) or (b) and construction and construction-related expenditures that are provided for in the an expenditure plan adopted pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (e), payable from the revenues generated from the program. ~~Revenues derived from the additional capacity created from bonding against proceeds from tolls within San Mateo County shall be used exclusively for the preconstruction, construction, and other related costs of high-occupancy vehicle facilities, transportation corridor improvements, and the improvement of transit service, including, but not limited to, support for transit operations pursuant to an expenditure plan adopted by the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority.~~

(2) The maximum bonded indebtedness that may be outstanding at any one time shall not exceed an amount that may be serviced from the estimated revenues generated from the program.

(3) The bonds shall bear interest at a rate or rates not exceeding the maximum allowable by law, payable at intervals determined by the authority.

(4) Any bond issued pursuant to this subdivision shall contain on its face a statement to the following effect:

"Neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing power of the State of California is pledged to the payment of principal of, or the interest on, this bond."

(5) Bonds shall be issued pursuant to a resolution of VTA adopted by a two-thirds vote of its governing board. The resolution shall state all of the following:

(A) The purposes for which the proposed debt is to be incurred.

(B) The estimated cost of accomplishing those purposes.

(C) The amount of the principal of the indebtedness.

(D) The maximum term of the bonds and the interest rate.

(E) The denomination or denominations of the bonds, which shall not be less than five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

(F) The form of the bonds, including, without limitation, registered bonds and coupon bonds, to the extent permitted by federal law, the registration, conversion, and exchange privileges, if applicable, and the time when all of, or any part of, the principal becomes due and payable.

(G) Any other matters authorized by law.

(6) The full amount of bonds may be divided into two or more series and different dates of payment fixed for the bonds of each series. A bond shall not be required to mature on its anniversary date.

(i) Not later than three years after VTA first collects revenues from any of the projects described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), VTA shall submit a report to the Legislature on its findings, conclusions, and recommendations concerning the demonstration program authorized by this section. The report shall include an analysis of the effect of the HOT lanes on adjacent mixed-flow lanes and any comments submitted by the department and the Department of the California Highway Patrol regarding operation of the lanes.

SEC. 4. Section 30102.5 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30102.5. Consistent with Section 30918, the Bay Area Toll Authority shall fix the rates of the toll charge, except as provided in Sections 30921 and 30923, and may grant reduced-rate and toll-free passage on the state-owned toll bridges within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

SEC. 5. Section 30891 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30891. The commission may retain, for its cost in administering this article, an amount not to exceed one-quarter of 1 percent of the revenues allocated by it pursuant to Section 30892 and of the revenues allocated by it pursuant to Sections 30913, 30914, and 30914.7.

SEC. 6. Section 30911 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30911. (a) The authority shall control and maintain the Bay Area Toll Account and other subaccounts it deems necessary and appropriate to document toll revenue and operating expenditures in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

(b) (1) After *providing for expenditures pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 30912 and for operating assistance pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 30914 and subdivision (c) of Section 30914.7 and after* the requirements of any bond resolution or indenture of the authority for any outstanding revenue bonds have been met, the authority shall transfer on a regularly scheduled basis as set forth in the authority's annual budget resolution, the revenues defined in subdivision (b) of Sections 30913, 30914, and 30914.7 to the commission. The funds transferred ~~are continuously appropriated~~ to the commission ~~to expend~~ *shall be expended* for the purposes specified in subdivision (b) of Section 30913 and Sections 30914 and 30914.7. *After the commission makes a determination that the projects and programs funded by the commission have been completed, the revenues transferred to the commission shall be expended by the commission for supplemental funding for the projects and programs identified in subdivision (a) of Section 30914.7 if the voters approve a toll increase authorized pursuant to Section 30923.*

(2) For the purposes of paragraph (1), the revenues defined in subdivision (b) of Section 30913 and subdivision (a) of Section 30914 include all revenues accruing since January 1, 1989.

SEC. 7. Section 30914.7 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

30914.7. (a) If the voters approve a toll increase pursuant to Section 30923, the authority shall, consistent with the provisions of this section fund the projects and programs described in this subdivision that shall collectively be known as the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan by bonding or transfers to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. These projects and programs have been determined to reduce congestion or to make improvements to travel in the toll bridge corridors, from toll revenues of all bridges:

(1) BART Expansion Cars. Purchase new railcars for the Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) to expand its fleet and improve reliability. The project sponsor is the BART. Five hundred million dollars (\$500,000,000).

(2) Bay Area Corridor Express Lanes. Fund the environmental review, design, and construction of express lanes to complete the Bay Area Express Lane Network, including supportive operational improvements to connecting transportation facilities. Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, express lanes on Interstate 80, Interstate 580, and Interstate 680 in the Counties of Alameda and Contra Costa, Interstate 880 in the County of Alameda, Interstate 280 in the City and County of San Francisco, Highway 101 in the City and County of San Francisco and the County of San Mateo, State Route 84 and State Route 92 in the Counties of Alameda and San Mateo, Interstate 80 from Red Top Road to the intersection with Interstate 505 in the County of Solano, and express lanes in the County of Santa Clara. Eligible project sponsors include the Bay Area Infrastructure Financing Authority, and any countywide or multicounty agency in a bay area county that is authorized to implement express lanes. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall make funds available based on performance criteria, including benefit-cost and project readiness. Three hundred million dollars (\$300,000,000).

- (3) Goods Movement and Mitigation. Provide funding to reduce truck traffic congestion and mitigate its environmental effects. Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, improvements in the County of Alameda to enable more goods to be shipped by rail, access improvements on Interstate 580, Interstate 80, and Interstate 880, and improved access to the Port of Oakland. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall *consult and coordinate with the Alameda County Transportation Commission to* select projects for the program. Eligible applicants include cities, counties, countywide transportation agencies, rail operators, and the Port of Oakland. The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Alameda County Transportation Commission. One hundred ~~twenty-five sixty~~ million dollars ~~(\$125,000,000)~~. *(\$160,000,000)*.
- (4) San Francisco Bay Trail/Safe Routes to Transit. Provide funding for a competitive grant program to fund bicycle and pedestrian access improvements on and in the vicinity of the state-owned toll bridges connecting to rail transit stations and ferry terminals. Eligible applicants include cities, counties, transit operators, school districts, community colleges, and universities. The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. One hundred fifty million dollars (\$150,000,000).
- (5) Ferry Enhancement Program. Provide funding to purchase new vessels, upgrade and rehabilitate existing vessels, build facilities and landside improvements, and upgrade existing facilities. The project sponsor is the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation ~~Authority~~. ~~Three~~ *Authority. Three* hundred ~~twenty-five~~ million dollars ~~(\$325,000,000)~~. *(\$300,000,000)*.
- (6) BART to San Jose Phase 2. Extend BART from Berryessa Station to San Jose and Santa Clara. The project sponsor is the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority. ~~Four~~ *Three* hundred ~~seventy-five~~ million dollars ~~(\$400,000,000)~~. *(\$375,000,000)*.
- (7) Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District (SMART). Provide funding to extend the rail system north of the Charles M. Schulz-Sonoma County Airport to the ~~City of Windsor~~. ~~Cities of Windsor and Healdsburg~~. The project sponsor is the Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District. Forty million dollars (\$40,000,000).
- (8) Capitol Corridor. Provide funding for track infrastructure that will improve the performance of Capital Corridor passenger rail operations by reducing travel times, adding service frequencies, and improving system safety and reliability. The project sponsor is the Capital Corridor Joint Powers Authority. Ninety million dollars (\$90,000,000).
- (9) Caltrain Downtown Extension. Extend Caltrain from its current terminus at Fourth Street and King Street to the Transbay Transit Center. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall allocate funding to the agency designated to build the project, which shall be the project sponsor. Three hundred ~~fifty~~ *twenty-five* million dollars ~~(\$350,000,000)~~. *(\$325,000,000)*.
- (10) MUNI Fleet Expansion and Facilities. Fund replacement and expansion of the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's MUNI vehicle fleet and associated facilities. The project sponsor is the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency. One hundred forty million dollars (\$140,000,000).
- (11) Core Capacity Transit Improvements. Implement recommendations from the Core Capacity Transit Study and other ideas to maximize person throughput in the transbay corridor. Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, transbay bus ~~improvements, including AC Transit's (Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District) improvements and high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane access improvements. Priority funding shall be the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District's (AC Transit) Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects identified in the study, and high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane access improvements. study.~~ The project sponsors are ~~AC Transit and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. One hundred eighty million dollars (\$180,000,000).~~ *Commission, Alameda County Transportation Commission, and AC Transit. One hundred forty million dollars (\$140,000,000).*
- (12) ~~Alameda-Contra~~ *Alameda-Contra* Costa Transit District (AC Transit) Rapid Bus *Corridor* Improvements. Fund bus purchases and capital improvements to reduce travel times and increase service frequency along key corridors. The project ~~sponsor is AC Transit. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000).~~ *sponsors are AC Transit and Alameda County Transportation Commission. One hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000).*
- (13) Transbay Rail Crossing. Fund preliminary engineering, environmental review, and design of a second transbay rail crossing and its approaches to provide additional rail capacity, increased reliability, and improved resiliency to the corridor. Subject to approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, funds may also be used for construction, and, if sufficient matching funds are secured, to fully fund a useable segment of the project. The project sponsor is the Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000).

(14) Tri-Valley Transit Access Improvements. Provide interregional and last-mile transit connections on the Interstate 580 corridor in the County of Alameda within the Tri-Valley area of Dublin, Pleasanton, and Livermore. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall consult with the Alameda County Transportation Commission, the Bay Area Rapid Transit District, and local jurisdictions to determine the project sponsor. One hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000).

(15) Eastridge to BART Regional Connector. Extend Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority light rail from the Alum Rock station to the Eastridge Transit Center. The project sponsor is the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority. One hundred thirty million dollars (\$130,000,000).

(16) San Jose Diridon Station. Redesign, rebuild, and expand Diridon Station to more efficiently and effectively accommodate existing regional rail services, future BART and high-speed rail service, and Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority light rail and buses. The project sponsor shall consider accommodating a future connection to Norman Y. Mineta San Jose International Airport and prioritizing non-auto access modes. The project sponsor is the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority. One hundred ~~twenty~~ million dollars ~~(\$120,000,000); (\$100,000,000)~~.

(17) Dumbarton ~~Rail/Altamont Corridor Express (ACE)/BART/Shinn Station~~ *Corridor Improvements. Fund planning, environmental review, design, and construction of capital improvements within Dumbarton Bridge and rail corridor in the Counties of Alameda and San Mateo to relieve congestion, increase person throughput, and offer reliable travel times. Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, the projects recommended in the Dumbarton Corridor Transportation Study and improvements to facilitate rail and transit connectivity among the Altamont Corridor Express, Capitol Corridor, and Bay Area Rapid Transit District, including a rail connection at Shinn Station. The project sponsors are the Bay Area Toll Authority, Alameda County Transportation Commission, the San Mateo County Transit District, and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority.* One hundred thirty million dollars (\$130,000,000).

(18) Highway 101/State Route 92 Interchange. Fund improvements to the interchange of Highway 101 and State Route 92 in the County of San Mateo. The project is jointly sponsored by the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000).

(19) Contra Costa Interstate 680/State Route 4 Interchange ~~Improvements and Transit Enhancements~~ *Improvements.* Fund improvements to the Interstate 680/State Route 4 interchange ~~and infrastructure to facilitate express bus service in the corridor, to improve safety and reduce congestion,~~ including, but not limited to, ~~the purchase of buses, development or expansion of park-and-ride lots, and a direct high-occupancy vehicle lane connector;~~ *a new direct connector between northbound Interstate 680 and westbound State Route 4, a new direct connector between eastbound State Route 4 and southbound Interstate 680, and widening of State Route 4 to add auxiliary lanes and high-occupancy vehicle lanes.* The project sponsor is the Contra Costa Transportation Authority. ~~One Two~~ hundred ~~fifty ten~~ million dollars ~~(\$150,000,000); (\$210,000,000)~~.

(20) Highway 101-Marin/Sonoma Narrows. Construct northbound and southbound high-occupancy vehicle lanes on Highway 101 between Petaluma Boulevard South in Petaluma and Atherton Avenue in Novato. The project sponsors are the Transportation Authority of Marin and the Sonoma County Transportation Authority. One hundred ~~twenty-five~~ *twenty* million dollars ~~(\$125,000,000); (\$120,000,000)~~.

(21) Solano County Interstate 80/Interstate 680/State Route 12 Interchange Project. Construct Red Top Road interchange and westbound Interstate 80 to southbound Interstate 680 connector. The project sponsor is the Solano Transportation Authority. One hundred ~~seventy-five~~ *fifty* million dollars ~~(\$175,000,000); (\$150,000,000)~~.

(22) Interstate 80 Westbound Truck Scales. Improve freight mobility, reliability, and safety on the Interstate 80 corridor by funding improvements to the Interstate 80 Westbound Truck Scales in the County of Solano. The project sponsor is the Solano Transportation Authority. One hundred ~~twenty-five~~ *five* million dollars ~~(\$125,000,000); (\$105,000,000)~~.

(23) State Route 37 Improvements. Fund near-term and longer-term improvements to State Route 37 to improve the roadway's mobility, safety, and long-term resiliency to sea level rise and flooding. For the purposes of the environmental review and design, the project shall include the segment of State Route 37 from the intersection in Marin County with Highway 101 to the intersection with Interstate 80 in the County of Solano. Capital funds may be used on any segment along this corridor, as determined by the project sponsors. The project is jointly sponsored by the ~~Bay Area Toll Authority, the~~ Transportation Authority of Marin, the Napa Valley

Transportation Authority, the Solano Transportation Authority, and the Sonoma County Transportation Authority. Funds for this project may be allocated to any of the project sponsors. One hundred ~~fifty~~ million dollars ~~(\$150,000,000)~~. *(\$100,000,000)*

(24) San Rafael Transit Center. Construct a replacement to the San Rafael (Bettini) Transit Center on an existing or new site, or both, in downtown San Rafael. The selected alternative shall be approved by the City of San Rafael, the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District, the Transportation Authority of Marin, and Marin Transit. The project sponsor is the Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District. Thirty million dollars (\$30,000,000).

(25) Richmond-San Rafael Bridge Access Improvements. Fund eastbound and westbound improvements in the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge corridor, ~~including, but not limited to,~~ *including* a direct connector from northbound Highway 101 to eastbound Interstate ~~580 and~~ *580*, westbound access and operational ~~improvements~~ *improvements in the vicinity of the toll plaza east of the bridge in Contra Costa County, and Richmond Parkway interchange improvements. Of the amount allocated to this project, one hundred thirty-five million dollars (\$135,000,000) shall be dedicated to the direct connector from northbound Highway 101 to eastbound Interstate 580 in Marin County and seventy-five million dollars (\$75,000,000) shall be dedicated to the projects in Contra Costa County.* The project sponsors are the Bay Area Toll Authority, the Contra Costa Transportation Authority, and the Transportation Authority of Marin. ~~One Two~~ hundred ~~forty-five ten~~ million dollars ~~(\$145,000,000)~~. *(\$210,000,000).*

(26) North Bay Transit Access Improvements. Provide funding for transit improvements, including, but not limited to, bus capital projects, including vehicles, transit facilities, and access to transit facilities, benefiting the Counties of Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano, and Contra Costa. Priority shall be given to projects that are fully funded, ready for construction, and serving rail transit or transit service that operates primarily on existing or fully funded high-occupancy vehicle lanes. The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Eligible applicants are any transit operator providing service in the Counties of Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, Solano, or Sonoma. One hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000).

(27) State Route 29. Eligible project expenses include State Route 29 major intersection improvements, including Soscol Junction, and signal and signage improvements, which may include multimodal infrastructure and safety improvements between Carneros Highway (State Route 12/121) and American Canyon Road. The project sponsor is the Napa Valley Transportation Authority. Twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000).

(28) Next-Generation Clipper Transit Fare Payment System. Provide funding to design, develop, test, implement, and transition to the next generation of Clipper, the bay area's transit fare payment system. The next-generation system will support a universal, consistent, and seamless transit fare payment system for the riders of transit agencies in the bay area. The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000).

(29) Interstate 680/Interstate 880/Route 262 Freeway Connector. Connect Interstate 680 and Interstate 880 in southern Alameda County to improve traffic movement, reduce congestion, and improve operations and safety. The project sponsor is the Alameda County Transportation Commission. Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000).

(30) Interstate 680/State Route 84 Interchange Reconstruction Project. Improve safety and regional and interregional connectivity by conforming State Route 84 to expressway standards between south of Ruby Hill Drive and the Interstate 680 interchange in southern Alameda County and implementing additional improvements to reduce weaving and merging conflicts and help address the additional traffic demand between Interstate 680 and State Route 84. The project sponsor is Alameda County Transportation Commission. Eighty-five million dollars (\$85,000,000).

(31) Interstate 80 Transit Improvements. Fund improvements to support expanded bus service in the Interstate 80 corridor including, but not limited to, bus purchases, expansion of the WestCAT storage yard and maintenance facility. Fund implementation of the San Pablo Avenue Multi-modal Corridor (AC Transit). The project sponsor is Contra Costa Transportation Authority. Twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000).

(32) Byron Highway-Vasco Road Airport Connector. Fund construction of a new connector between Byron Highway and Vasco Road south of Camino Diablo Road as well as shoulder and other improvements to the Byron Highway, including a railroad grade separation, to improve safety and access to the Byron Airport and to facilitate economic development and access for goods movement in East Contra Costa County. The project sponsor is Contra Costa Transportation Authority. Ten million dollars (\$10,000,000).

(33) Vasco Road Safety Improvements. Fund the widening of lanes and construction of a concrete median barrier along 2.5 miles of Vasco Road beginning approximately three miles north of the Contra Costa/Alameda County Line. The project sponsor is Contra Costa Transportation Authority. Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000).

(34) East Contra Costa County Transit Intermodal Center. Fund the construction of a Transit Intermodal Center in Brentwood enhancing access to eBART and Mokelumne Bike Trail/Pedestrian Overcrossing at State Route 4. The project sponsor is Contra Costa Transportation Authority. Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000).

(35) Interstate 680 Transit Improvements. Fund improvements that will enhance transit service in the Interstate 680 corridor, including, but not limited to, implementing bus operations on shoulder (BOS), technology-based intermodal transit centers/managed parking lots and development of technology to enhance real-time travel information. Fund implementation of Shared Autonomous Vehicles (SAVs) to improve first and last mile transit connectivity. The project sponsor is Contra Costa Transportation Authority. Ten million dollars (\$10,000,000).

(b) Pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 30923, if the authority selects a toll increase to be placed on the ballot in an amount less than three dollars (\$3), the funding assigned to the projects and programs identified in subdivision (a) shall be adjusted proportionately to account for reduced funding capacity. The authority shall adopt a resolution detailing the updated Regional Measure 3 capital and operating funding available and listing the revised funding amounts for each project within 90 days of the certification of the election by the last county to certify the election on the toll increase. The authority shall update this resolution as needed to reflect additional tolls approved in subsequent elections.

(c) (1) Not more than 16 percent, up to sixty million dollars (\$60,000,000), of the revenues generated each year from the toll increase approved by the voters pursuant to Section 30923 shall be made available annually for the purpose of providing operating assistance as set forth in the authority's annual budget resolution for the purposes listed in paragraph (2). The funds shall be made available to the provider of the transit services subject to the performance measures described in paragraph (3).

(2) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission ~~may~~ *shall* annually fund the following operating programs from the revenue generated each year from the toll increase approved by the voters pursuant to Section 30923 as another component of the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan:

(A) The San Francisco Transbay Terminal. Eight percent of the amount available for operating assistance pursuant to paragraph (1), not to exceed five million dollars (\$5,000,000). These funds are available for transportation-related costs associated with operating the terminal. The Transbay Joint Powers Authority shall pursue other long-term, dedicated operating revenue to fund its operating costs. To the extent that a portion or all of the toll revenue provided pursuant to this subparagraph is not needed in a given fiscal year, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall reduce the allocation accordingly.

(B) (i) Expanded Ferry Service. ~~Fifty-eight~~ *Ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) in the first year of allocation, fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000) in the second year of allocation, twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) in the third year of allocation, and twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000) in the fourth year of allocation. These allocation amounts shall be subject to the adjustments in subdivision (b). In the fifth year of allocation and thereafter, 58* percent of the amount available for operating assistance pursuant to paragraph (1), not to exceed thirty-five million dollars (\$35,000,000). These funds shall be made available to the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority (WETA) to support expanded ferry service, including increased frequencies of existing routes and the operation of new routes.

(ii) To the extent that funds provided pursuant to clause (i) are not requested for expenditure by WETA in a given year, the funds shall be held by the authority in a ~~reserve~~ *reserve account*. Those funds shall be made available to WETA for any capital or operating purpose. Prior to receiving an allocation of those funds, WETA shall submit a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission detailing how the funds shall be used. An allocation of those funds shall constitute an augmentation of the funding provided in paragraph (5) of subdivision (a) and be treated as such in any reports by the authority regarding the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan.

(C) Regional Express Bus. Thirty-four percent of the amount available for operating assistance pursuant to paragraph (1), not to exceed twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000), to be distributed for bus service in the bridge corridors, prioritizing bus routes that carry the greatest number of transit riders. To the extent that a portion or all of the toll revenue provided pursuant to this subparagraph is not needed in a given fiscal year, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall reduce the allocation accordingly.

(3) Prior to the allocation of revenue for transit operating assistance under subparagraphs (A) and (C) of paragraph (2), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall:

(A) Adopt performance measures related to fare-box recovery, ridership, or other indicators, as appropriate. The performance measures shall be developed in consultation with the affected project sponsors.

(B) Execute an operating agreement with the sponsor of the project. This agreement shall include, but is not limited to, an operating plan that is consistent with the adopted performance measures. The agreement shall include a schedule of projected fare revenues or other forecast revenue and any other operating funding that will be dedicated to the service or terminal. For any individual project sponsor, this operating agreement may include additional requirements, as determined by the commission.

(C) In an operating agreement executed pursuant to subparagraph (B), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall grant a project sponsor at least five years to achieve the adopted performance measures. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall use a ridership forecast as the basis for performance measures adopted pursuant to subparagraph (A) and to establish performance measures in following years. If the transit service of a project sponsor does not achieve the performance measures within the timeframe granted to the project sponsor, the project sponsor shall notify the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission may revise the performance measures, extend the timeframe to achieve the performance measures, or take action to reduce the funding available for operations if the performance measures are not met within the new timeframe.

~~(4) Prior to the allocation of revenue for transit operating assistance under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, in collaboration with~~ *Metropolitan Transportation Commission providing funding to* the San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation ~~Authority, shall develop and adopt performance measures for ferry service.~~ *Authority (WETA) under subdivision (a) or this subdivision, WETA and the MTC shall do the following, as applicable:*

(A) WETA shall adopt a plan that includes systemwide and route-specific performance measures related to fare-box recovery, ridership, and any other measures as deemed appropriate by WETA in consultation with MTC.

(B) WETA and MTC shall execute an operating agreement that establishes a five-year plan for new or enhanced services and outlines incremental steps needed to achieve a reasonable level of service productivity and cost-effectiveness as compared to similar ferry services provided across the bay area.

(C) Subsequent to the time period identified in subparagraph (B), and if reasonable, but incomplete progress has been achieved to meet the performance measures identified in subparagraph (A), WETA, in consultation with MTC, may propose a new timeframe, not longer than an additional five years, to achieve the performance measures and take needed steps to remedy the service to meet the measures. In the event that the performance measures are not met within the new timeframe, WETA may seek additional time to achieve the measures and MTC may determine whether services should continue and may establish other conditions to service in consultation with WETA. In all cases, funds not spent or made available to WETA shall be returned to the reserve account established pursuant to clause (ii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2).

(D) WETA shall use the plan identified in subparagraph (A) to prioritize the use of capital funding made available by this section to support its mission as the operator of ferry services.

(E) Nothing in this section shall restrict WETA with respect to meeting its obligations as the coordinating agency for water transit response to regional emergencies.

(d) (1) For all projects authorized under subdivision (a), the project sponsor shall submit an initial project report to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission within six months of the election approving the toll ~~increase.~~*This increase. This* report shall include all information required to describe the project in detail, including the status of any environmental documents relevant to the project, additional funds required to fully fund the project, the amount, if any, of funds expended to date, and a summary of any impediments to the completion of the project. This report, or an updated report, shall include a detailed financial plan and shall notify the commission if the project sponsor will request toll revenue within the subsequent 12 months. The project sponsor shall update this report as needed or requested by the commission. No funds shall be allocated by the commission for any project authorized by subdivision (a) until the project sponsor submits the initial project report, and the report is reviewed and approved by the commission.

(2) If multiple project sponsors are listed for projects listed in subdivision (a), the commission shall identify a lead sponsor in coordination with all identified sponsors, for purposes of allocating funds. For any projects authorized under subdivision (a), the commission shall have the option of requiring a memorandum of understanding between itself and the project sponsor or sponsors that shall include any specific requirements that must be met prior to the allocation of funds provided under subdivision (a).

(e) If a program or project identified in subdivision (a) has cost savings after completion, taking into account construction costs and an estimate of future settlement claims, or cannot be completed or cannot continue due to delivery or financing obstacles making the completion or continuation of the program or project unrealistic, the commission shall consult with the program or project sponsor. After consulting with the sponsor, the commission shall hold a public hearing concerning the program or project. After the hearing, the commission may vote to modify the program or the project's scope, decrease its level of funding, or reassign some or all of the funds to another project within the same bridge corridor. If a program or project identified in subdivision (a) is to be implemented with other funds not derived from tolls, the commission shall follow the same consultation and hearing process described above and may vote thereafter to reassign the funds to another project consistent with the intent of this chapter.

(f) If the voters approve a toll increase pursuant to Section 30923, the authority shall within 24 months of the election date include the projects in a long-range *bridge toll* plan. The authority shall update its long-range plan as required to maintain its viability as a strategic plan for funding projects authorized by this section. The authority shall, by January 1, 2020, submit its updated long-range *bridge toll* plan to the transportation policy committee of each house of the Legislature for review. *This subdivision, to the extent a plan is prepared under this section, supersedes the requirement to prepare and submit a 20-year toll bridge expenditure plan to the Legislature for adoption pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 30914.*

(g) This section does not alter the obligations of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission with respect to the requirements of Section 65080 of the Government Code.

SEC. 8. Section 30915 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30915. (a) With respect to all construction and improvement projects specified in Sections 30913, 30914, and 30914.7, project sponsors and the department shall seek funding from all other potential sources, including, but not limited to, the State Highway Account and federal matching funds. The project sponsors and department shall report to the authority concerning the funds obtained under this subdivision.

(b) Local funds that have previously been committed to projects and programs identified in subdivision (a) of Section 30914.7 shall not be supplanted by the funding assigned to projects and programs pursuant to Section 30914.7 unless the project sponsor has secured a full funding plan for the project, or the local funds are needed to maintain transit service levels or fund a critical safety or maintenance need.

SEC. 9. Section 30916 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30916. (a) The base toll rate for vehicles crossing the state-owned toll bridges within the geographic jurisdiction of the commission as of January 1, 2003, is as follows:

Number of Axles	Toll
Two axles	\$ 1.00
Three axles	3.00
Four axles	5.25
Five axles	8.25
Six axles	9.00
Seven axles & more	10.50

(b) If the voters approve a toll increase, pursuant to Section 30921, commencing July 1, 2004, the base toll rate for vehicles crossing the bridges described in subdivision (a) is as follows:

Number of axles	Toll
Two axles	\$ 2.00
Three axles	4.00
Four axles	6.25
Five axles	9.25
Six axles	10.00
Seven axles & more	11.50

(c) (1) If the voters approve a toll increase, pursuant to Section 30923, the authority shall increase the base toll rate for vehicles crossing the bridges described in subdivision (a) from the toll rates then in effect by the amount approved by the voters pursuant to Section 30923. The authority may, beginning six months after the election approving the toll increase, phase in the toll increase over a period of time and may adjust the toll increase for inflation based on the California Consumer Price Index after the toll increase has been phased in completely.

(2) Revenue generated from the adjustment of the toll to account for inflation pursuant to paragraph (1) may be expended for the following purposes:

(A) Bridge maintenance and rehabilitation necessary to preserve, protect, and replace the bridge structures consistent with subdivision (b) of Section 30950.3.

(B) Supplemental funding for the projects and programs authorized pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 30914.7.

(d) The authority shall increase the amount of the toll only if required to meet its obligations on any bonds or to satisfy its covenants under any bond resolution or indenture. The authority shall hold a public hearing before adopting a toll schedule reflecting the increased toll charge.

(e) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit the adoption of either a discounted commute rate for two-axle vehicles or of special provisions for high-occupancy vehicles under terms and conditions prescribed by the authority in consultation with the department.

SEC. 10. Section 30918 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30918. (a) It is the ~~intention~~ *intent* of the Legislature to maintain tolls on all of the bridges specified in Section 30910 at rates sufficient to meet any obligation to the holders of bonds secured by the bridge toll revenues. The authority shall retain authority to set the toll schedule as may be necessary to meet those bond obligations. The authority shall provide at least 30 days' notice to the transportation policy committee of each house of the Legislature and shall hold a public hearing before adopting a toll schedule reflecting the increased toll rate.

(b) The authority shall increase the toll rates specified in the adopted toll schedule in order to meet its obligations and covenants under any bond resolution or indenture of the authority for any outstanding toll bridge revenue bonds issued by the authority and the requirements of any constituent instruments defining the rights of holders of related obligations of the authority entered into pursuant to Section 5922 of the Government Code and, notwithstanding Section 30887 or subdivision (d) of Section 30916 of this code, or any other law, may increase the toll rates specified in the adopted toll schedule to provide funds for the planning, design, construction, operation, maintenance, repair, replacement, rehabilitation, and seismic retrofit of the state-owned toll bridges specified in Section 30910 of this code, to provide funding to meet the requirements of Sections 30884 and 30911 of this code, and to provide funding to meet the requirements of voter-approved regional measures pursuant to Sections 30914, 30921, and 30923 of this code.

(c) Notwithstanding any other law, the authority's toll structure for the state-owned toll bridges specified in Section 30910 may vary from bridge to bridge and may include discounts ~~for vehicles~~ consistent with the following:

(1) The authority may include discounts for the following vehicles:

(A) Vehicles classified by the authority as high-occupancy vehicles.

(B) Vehicles that pay for tolls electronically or through other non-cash methods. The authority may charge differential rates based on the chosen method.

(2) The authority shall provide a 50-percent discount on the amount of the toll increase approved pursuant to Section 30923 on the second bridge crossing for those commuters using a two-axle ~~vehicle, who~~ *vehicle who pay tolls electronically or through other noncash methods and who* cross two bridges specified in Section 30910 during commute hours. The authority shall establish reasonable and practical operating rules to implement this paragraph.

(d) If the authority establishes high-occupancy vehicle lane fee discounts or access for vehicles classified by the authority as high-occupancy vehicles for any bridge or segments of a highway that connect to the bridge, the authority shall establish the occupancy requirements that shall apply on each segment of highway that connects with that bridge, in consultation with the department.

(e) All tolls referred to in this section and Sections 30916, 31010, and 31011 may be treated by the authority as a single revenue source for accounting and administrative purposes and for the purposes of any bond indenture or resolution and any agreement entered into pursuant to Section 5922 of the Government Code.

(f) It is the intent of the Legislature that the authority should consider the needs and requirements of both its electronic and cash-paying customers when it designates toll payment options at the toll plazas for the toll bridges under its jurisdiction.

SEC. 11. Section 30920 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30920. The authority may issue toll bridge revenue bonds to finance any or all of the projects, including those specified in Sections 30913, 30914, and 30914.7, if the issuance of the bonds does not adversely affect the minimum amount of toll revenue proceeds designated in Section 30913 and in paragraph (4) of subdivision (a) of, and subdivision (b) of, Section 30914 for rail extension and improvement projects and transit projects to reduce vehicular traffic. A determination of the authority that a specific project or projects shall have no adverse effect will be binding and conclusive in all respects.

SEC. 12. Section 30922 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30922. Any action or proceeding to contest, question, or deny the validity of a toll increase provided for in this chapter, the financing of the transportation program contemplated by this chapter, the issuance of any bonds secured by those tolls, or any of the proceedings in relation thereto, shall be commenced within 60 days from the date of the election at which the toll increase is approved. After that date, the financing of the program, the issuance of the bonds, and all proceedings in relation thereto, including the adoption, approval, and collection of the toll increase, shall be held valid and incontestable in every respect.

SEC. 13. Section 30923 is added to the Streets and Highways Code, to read:

30923. (a) For purposes of the special election to be conducted pursuant to this section, the authority shall select an amount of the proposed increase in the toll rate, not to exceed three dollars (\$3), for vehicles crossing the bridges described in Section 30910 to be placed on the ballot for approval by the voters.

(b) The toll rate for vehicles crossing the bridges described in Section 30910 shall not be increased ~~to the rate described in subdivision (c) of Section 30916~~ by the rate selected by the authority pursuant to subdivision (a) prior to the availability of the results of a special election to be held in the City and County of San Francisco and the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma to determine whether the residents of those counties and of the City and County of San Francisco approve the toll increase.

~~(c) The revenue derived from the toll increase shall be used to meet all funding obligations associated with projects and programs described in Section 30914.7. To the extent additional toll funds are available from the toll increase, the authority may use them for bridge rehabilitation and for projects and programs aimed at reducing congestion and improving travel options in the bridge corridors.~~

~~(d)~~ (c) (1) Notwithstanding any provision of the Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco and of each of the counties described in subdivision (b) shall call a special election to be conducted in the City and County of San Francisco and in each of the counties that shall be consolidated with a statewide primary or general election, which shall be selected by the authority.

(2) The authority shall determine the ballot question, which shall include the amount of the proposed toll increase selected pursuant to subdivision (a) and a summary of the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan. The ballot question shall be submitted to the voters as Regional Measure 3 and stated separately in the ballot from state and local measures.

~~(e)~~ (d) The ballot pamphlet for the special election shall include a summary of the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan regarding the eligible projects and programs to be funded pursuant to Section 30914.7. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall prepare a summary of the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan.

~~(f)~~ (e) The county clerks shall report the results of the special election to the authority. If a majority of all voters voting on the question at the special election vote affirmatively, the authority may phase in the increased toll schedule consistent with subdivision (c) of Section 30916.

~~(g)~~ (f) If a majority of all the voters voting on the question at the special election do not approve the toll increase, the authority may by resolution resubmit the measure to the voters at a subsequent statewide primary

or general election. If a majority of all of the voters vote affirmatively on the measure, the authority may adopt the toll increase and establish its effective date and establish the completion dates for all reports and studies required by Sections 30914.7 and 30950.3.

~~(+)~~ (g) (1) Each county and city and county shall share translation services for the ballot pamphlet and shall provide the authority a certified invoice that details the incremental cost of including the measure on the ballot, as well as the total costs associated with the election.

(2) The authority shall reimburse each county and city and county participating in the election for the incremental cost of submitting the measure to the voters. These costs shall be reimbursed from revenues derived from the tolls if the measure is approved by the voters, or, if the measure is not approved, from any bridge toll revenues administered by the authority.

~~(+)~~ (h) If the voters approve a toll increase pursuant to this section, the authority shall establish an independent oversight committee within six months of the effective date of the toll increase to ensure that any toll revenues generated pursuant to this section are expended consistent with the applicable requirements set forth in Section 30914.7. The oversight committee shall include two representatives from each county within the jurisdiction of the commission. Each representative shall be appointed by the applicable county board of supervisors and serve a four-year term and shall be limited to two terms. The oversight committee shall annually review the expenditure of funds by the authority for the projects and programs specified in Section 30914.7 and prepare and submit a report to the transportation committee of each house of the Legislature summarizing its findings. The oversight committee may request any documents from the authority to assist the committee in performing its functions.

~~(+)~~ (i) If voters approve a toll increase pursuant to this section, the authority shall annually prepare a report to the Legislature, in conformance with Section 9795 of the Government Code, on the status of the projects and programs funded pursuant to Section 30914.7.

~~(+)~~ (j) Except as provided in subdivision (c) of Section 30916 and Section 30918, the toll increase adopted by the authority pursuant to this section shall not be changed without statutory authorization by the Legislature.

SEC. 14. Section 30950.3 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30950.3. (a) The authority shall prepare, adopt, and from time to time revise, a long-range bridge toll plan for the completion of all projects within its jurisdiction, including those of the Regional Traffic Relief Plan described in subdivision (c) of Section 30914 and the Regional Measure 3 expenditure plan described in subdivision (a) of Section 30914.7.

(b) The authority shall give first priority to projects and expenditures that are deemed necessary by the department and the authority to preserve and protect the bridge structures.

SEC. 15. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

~~**SEC. 2:** Section 14461 of the Government Code is amended to read:~~

~~**14461.** (a) The Inspector General shall review policies, practices, and procedures and conduct audits and investigations of activities involving state transportation funds administered by the department in consultation with all affected units and programs of the department and external entities.~~

~~(b) The Inspector General may review policies, practices, and procedures and conduct audits and investigations of activities involving any toll revenues generated under a regional tolling measure approved pursuant to Section 30923 of the Streets and Highways Code. The Bay Area Toll Authority shall reimburse the Inspector General and the Independent Office of Audits and Investigations for any review, audit, or investigation related to revenues generated pursuant to Section 30923 of the Streets and Highways Code that are used for projects, programs, administration, or any other use by the Bay Area Toll Authority.~~