

Crowe LLP Independent Member Crowe Global

575 Market Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94105-5829 Tel 415.576.1100 Fax 415.576.1110 www.crowehorwath.com

March 11, 2021

Ms. Deidra Dingman Conservation Programs Manager Contra Costa County Department of Conservation & Development 30 Muir Road Martinez, CA 94553

Subject: Analysis of Republic Services, Inc. 2021 Base Year Rate Application

Dear Ms. Dingman:

This letter report represents results of Crowe LLP's (Crowe) review of the 2021 base year rate application (Application) submitted by Crockett Garbage Service, Inc., a Republic Services company (CGS or Republic) to Contra Costa County (County). CGS has provided residential, commercial, and industrial refuse collection services to the unincorporated County areas of Crockett, Port Costa, and Tormey (together referred to as the Crockett area) since becoming the assignee of the County Franchise Agreement in 1996. Republic Services, Inc. purchased Richmond Sanitary Service and its subsidiaries, including CGS, in May 2001. This letter report is organized into eight (8) sections as follows:

- A. Purpose of Analysis
- B. Summary
- C. Project Background
- D. Scope of Rate Review
- E. History of Crockett Area Rates
- F. 2021 Base Year Rate Application
- G. Review of 2021 Base Year Rate Application
- H. Comparison of Rates to Neighboring Jurisdictions.

There are three (3) attachments to this report, as follows:

- A. Rate Application
- B. Adjusted Base Year Rate Model
- C. Comparative Rate Survey.

A. Purpose of Analysis

The purpose of the 2021 Base Year Rate Analysis of Republic Services, Inc. (Analysis) is to assist the County (Specified Party) with establishing solid waste collection rates charged by CGS. For purpose of this review, we used the County's *Rate Setting Process and Methodology Manual for Solid Waste Charges as Applied to Allied Waste Services, Inc. – a division of Republic Services, Inc.* approved by the County Board of Supervisors on May 19, 1998 (Allied Manual). To complete our review, we principally followed Steps 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 in Chapter II of the County's Allied Manual.

The information in this Analysis is based on estimates, assumptions and other data developed by Crowe from information provided by CGS, knowledge of and participation in other studies, data supplied by the County, and other sources deemed to be reliable.



In the course of preparing this Analysis, we have not conducted an audit, review, or compilation of any financial or supplemental data used in the accompanying Analysis. We have made certain projections of revenues and expenses which may vary from actual results because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected and such variances may be material. We have no responsibility to update this Analysis for events or circumstances occurring after the date above.

Our procedures and work product are intended for the benefit and use of the Specified Party. This engagement was not planned or conducted in contemplation of reliance by any other party or with respect to any specific transaction and is not intended to benefit or influence any other party. Therefore, items of possible interest to a third party may not be specifically addressed or matters may exist that could be assessed differently by a third party.

B. Summary

In its Application, Republic requested a rate increase of 10.56 percent for 2021. Crowe recommends a rate increase of 9.05 percent. Actual rate increases for residential and commercial customers are shown in **Tables 1** and **2** respectively. Residential rates would increase by between \$2.70 and \$6.81 per customer, per month, depending on the residential service level as shown in Table 1. Commercial rates would increase by between \$14.56 and \$19.56 per customer, per month, for selected bin sizes as shown in Table 2.

Table 1

Crockett Garbage Service 2021 Residential Rates, by Service Level (Per Customer, Per Month)

Service Level	Customer Count	2020 Rate	2021 Rate	Rate Change
Backyard (customer provided can)				
20 Gallon	83	\$29.80	\$32.50	\$2.70
35 Gallon	113	\$36.26	\$39.54	\$3.28
45 Gallon	11	\$47.67	\$51.98	\$4.31
Curbside (company provided cart, unless noted)				
20 Gallon	84	\$29.80	\$32.50	\$2.70
35 Gallon	789	\$35.33	\$38.53	\$3.20
45 Gallon (customer provided can)	3	\$46.68	\$50.90	\$4.22
64 Gallon	142	\$61.95	\$67.56	\$5.61
96 Gallon	12	\$75.27	\$82.08	\$6.81

Table 2Crockett Garbage Service2021 Commercial Rates, by Selected Service Level(Per Customer, Per Month)

Service Level	2020 Rate	2021 Rate	Rate Change
1 yd. 1x per week	\$160.87	\$175.43	\$14.56
2 yd. 1x per week	\$216.11	\$235.67	\$19.56



C. Project Background

CGS has an exclusive franchise with the County to collect and remove, for disposal and recycling, residential, commercial, and light industrial solid waste, recycling, and organics. The franchise agreement between the County and CGS specifies that CGS is allowed to submit an application for a rate change in a format required by the County. In 1998, the County adopted the Allied Manual. The County directed us to use the Allied Manual for CGS-served area rate setting.

The Allied Manual reflects the County's desire to use the operating ratio method for establishing allowable profit levels in base years. The Allied Manual identifies guidelines for allowable, pass-through, and nonallowable costs. Every four years, during base years, the hauler submits a Base Year Rate Change Application. The Application is reviewed to determine whether a rate change is necessary. Interim years occur during each year between base years, and are triggered if the hauler submits an *Interim Year Rate Change Application*. Interim years follow a more streamlined index-based process. As specified in the Manual, base year reviews involve a detailed and rigorous review of revenues and costs, including actual costs, estimated costs (based on year-to-date results), and projection year costs (entirely projected based on assumptions about cost escalations). During base year reviews, County rates are established to cover a revenue requirement (which includes allowable costs, a specific regulated profit level, and pass-through costs).

Rate setting is prospective. The County sets rates in advance of when actual results occur. The County must therefore base rates on careful projections.

The County and CGS have no formal, or conceptual, balancing account whereby projected revenues and costs are subsequently "balanced" with actual revenues and costs. Once base year results are approved by the Board of Supervisors, the County does not examine actual CGS financial results until the next base year, or four years later.

The County uses the Operating Ratio¹ (OR) method to project the profit level allowed to CGS in a base year. To set the base year OR, the County reviews trends in prior, current, and projected revenues, costs, and profits. The actual OR received by CGS in a base year, and in subsequent interim years, is not trued up to actual results.

D. Scope of Rate Review

The scope of work for this project is based on requirements of the Allied Manual's base year rate setting process. Crowe completed the following activities in our review:

- Verified the application package was complete
- Assessed whether data presented in the application were mathematically correct and consistent
- Compared actual 2018, 2019, and 2020 financial results with year-to-year changes in inflation levels for that time period
- Analyzed significant historical fluctuations in major cost categories
- Examined relationships between financial and operating information
- Determined the projected 2021 operating ratio
- · Conducted a survey of rates in similar service areas
- Assessed franchise fee payments made by CGS to the County.

Crowe submitted a data request to CGS on January 11, 2021. We received CGS responses and met with CGS management on January 19, 2021 to ask follow-up questions and provide CGS with an

¹ The operating ratio is a profit term defined as a company's operating expenses as a percentage of revenue.



opportunity to provide additional context regarding the Application.

E. History of Crockett Area Rates

Since the Manual's adoption, the County has conducted rate reviews of CGS which resulted in the following rate changes:

- 2002 2.10 percent
- 2003 2.20 percent (interim year)
- 2006 6.74 percent (base year)
- 2007 3.75 percent (interim year)
- 2008 2.31 percent (interim year)
- 2009 3.49 percent (interim year)
- 2010-16 0.0 percent (base, interim years)
- 2017 14.28 percent (base year)
- 2018 8.50 percent (interim year)
- 2019 4.30 percent (interim year)
- 2020 2.70 percent (interim year).

F. 2021 Base Year Rate Application

On November 20, 2020, Republic submitted its base year rate application to the County for rate year 2021 (hereafter referred to as the Application). We enclose a copy of this Application as **Attachment A**. The County requires the franchise hauler to submit a base year rate application once every four (4) years. In accordance with the Manual, rate year 2021 is a base year. For the next three years, 2022 through 2024, rates will be set using the simplified interim year process (i.e., rate changes tied to the change in CPI).

CGS's Application to the County projected a rate increase was needed to cover a combined \$83,928 estimated net shortfall, including franchise fees, for 2021. CGS requested an increase of 10.56 percent to cover this shortfall.

We relied on un-audited, internally prepared financial information, and operational data for our Analysis. CGS provided actual data for rate year 2019. Republic also provided internally prepared financial information and operational data for rate years 2020 (estimated) and 2021 (projected), and additional information and data requested by Crowe.

G. Review of 2021 Base Year Rate Application

This section details findings from Crowe's review of CGS's 2021 Application. Crowe identified the impact of each finding in terms of a dollar value increase or a decrease in the "revenue requirement" identified in the Application. The revenue requirement is the amount of revenue that Republic needs to collect, through rates charged to customers, to cover costs of providing the service plus a reasonable financial return. Increasing the revenue requirement results in an increase in rates, and decreasing the revenue requirement results in a decrease in rates.

Crowe reviewed the Application for consistency with the rate setting methodology, County policies, and waste management industry practices. In our review of Republic financial results, we compared year-to-



year changes in revenues and costs for reasonableness and solicited explanations from Republic for material changes. Our adjusted rate model is provided in **Attachment B**.

Most Crockett area costs are consolidated with costs from other divisions of Richmond Sanitary Service, Inc. and the consolidated financial statements are subject to routine audits.² CGS provided actual consolidated costs for 2019. CGS also provided its cost allocation method, and calculations used to determine Crockett area costs. CGS used a route hour method to allocate costs from the consolidated level to Crockett areas.

CGS' cost allocation calculations were based on factors including drive bys per hour and lifts per hour. CGS then determined the percent of total hours spent serving Crockett areas, and allocated consolidated costs to Crockett areas based on this route-hour percentage. We found this cost allocation method acceptable and consistent with industry norms. Republic Services allocated 25.26 percent of consolidated costs to Crockett. This percentage is consistent with the allocation percentage used by Republic in prior CGS base years.

We reviewed CGS's historical revenues and costs to understand the basis for the rate increase identified by CGS in its Application. We determined that the need for a rate increase, for this year, resulted from three (3) primary components:

- Increases in labor costs These costs are associated with increases in union labor wage rates and benefits specified in *Teamsters Local 315* union agreements.
- Increases in tipping fee costs Total tipping fee costs increased over the 2017 to 2020 period resulting from a 17% increases in municipal solid waste and an increase in tipping fee rates (approximately three (3) percent per year) over the three year period.
- Increasing costs to process recyclables With the increasing challenges following China Sword the sale of recyclable material turned from a revenue stream in 2017 (+\$10 per ton) to a significant cost (-\$96 per ton). Recycled materials sales revenues declined over \$50,000 between 2017 and 2020.

Table 3 summarizes eight (8) adjustments from our review of CGS's 2021 Base Year Application. Our adjustments reduce the revenue requirement by \$11,978. With these adjustments, CGS will operate at a shortfall of \$71,950.

One of the adjustments (-\$13,750) was for profit allowed on tipping fees. We set tipping fees with profit at \$45.00 per ton and treated amounts over \$45.00 per ton as a pass-through expense, consistent with treatment in the last three Crockett area base year rate reviews. Several adjustments were to use a cost escalation rate equal to the most recent change in the SF Bay Area CPI (August to August) which equaled 1.6 percent. Another adjustment (-\$2,253) was for allowable operating profit. Because CSS is projected to earn an actual operating ratio outside the 88 to 92 percent operating ratio range specified in the Allied Manual, we reset the allowable Base Year operating ratio to the 90 percent target operating ratio.³

We also noted that the company uses relatively large overtime levels to serve Crockett areas. We inquired about this observation and CSS indicated that this resulted from difficulty in maintaining driver headcount. CGS also uses a 10 hour workday to accommodate longer routes.

² Since July 2008, most Crockett costs are allocated from Republic Services' combined costs of serving the Berkeley (commercial), Crockett, El Cerrito, and Rodeo areas. The cost of preparing audited financial statements solely for CGS would be a significant allowable expense for rate setting purposes if arranged by the Contractor, which would potentially warrant a substantive rate increase due to the limited customer base available in the franchise area from which to spread this cost.

³ The operating ratio (OR) is defined as total allowable costs divided by the sum of total allowable costs plus total allowable operating profits.



We also verified that the general and administrative expenses fell within a reasonable levels (10%) when viewed as a percent of the total revenue requirement for the company.

Table 3Rate Adjustment Summary

	Adjustment Description	Amount of Adjustment
1.	Use of 3.5% direct labor escalation rate (based on a combination of labor agreement escalations for hourly rates and benefits)	(\$1,637)
2.	Use of \$45 per ton as allowable tipping fee amount based on historical Crockett treatment and use of 1.6% as escalation rate based on recent Aug to Aug change in the CPI	(\$15,910)
3.	Use of 1.6% escalation rate based on recent Aug to Aug change in the CPI for corporate and local general and administrative costs	(\$1,115)
4.	Use of 1.6% escalation rate based on recent Aug to Aug change in the CPI for trucking and equipment costs	(\$1,604)
5.	Use of 1.6% escalation rate based on recent Aug to Aug change in the CPI for depreciation and other operating costs	(\$12)
6.	Adjustment to allowable operating profit based on adjustments noted in Items 1-5 above	(\$2,253)
7.	Shift of excess amount of tipping fee above \$45 per ton from allowable tipping fee amount to pass through amount (based on historical Crockett treatment) and use of 1.6% as escalation rate based on recent Aug to Aug change in the CPI	11,391
8.	Adjustment to franchise fees based on items 1-7 above	(\$838)
To	tal reduction to revenue requirement	(\$11,978)

H. Comparison of Rates to Neighboring Jurisdictions

Current 2020 Crockett area rates were compared with survey data from ten other jurisdictions. Results of the survey are summarized in **Attachment C**. Tables C-1 and C-2 show how selected current 2020 Crockett area rates compare to the average of the jurisdictions surveyed.

In Table C-1, we compare residential 20-, 32-, 64-, and 96-gallon CGS rates with averages of the comparable neighboring jurisdictions. CGS residential rates were between 11.55 and 27.05 percent below comparative residential rates. Commercial rates for the 2 yard bin service (1 time and 2 times per week collection) were well below comparative averages.

* * *

This Analysis is substantially different from an audit, examination, or review in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, the objective of which is to express an opinion regarding CGS financial statements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The consulting services did not contemplate obtaining the understanding of CGS internal controls or assessing control risk, tests of accounting records and responses to inquiries by obtaining corroborating evidential matter, and certain other procedures ordinarily performed during an audit or examination. Thus, this engagement was not intended to provide assurance that we would become aware of significant



matters that would be disclosed in an audit or examination.

As part of this Analysis, the County agreed to be responsible to: make all management decisions and perform all management functions; designate an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge, and/or experience, preferably within senior management to oversee our services; evaluate the adequacy and results of the services performed; accept responsibility for the results of the services; and establish and maintain internal controls, including monitoring ongoing activities. The County has authority for recommending rate changes and has the ultimate authority to approve rate changes.

Crowe's fees are not dependent upon the outcome of this report and Crowe is independent with respect to any other economic interests.

We appreciate the contribution of County management and your input and direction on this project. We also thank CGS management and staff for its timely responses to our data requests. If you have any questions regarding this report, please call Erik Nylund at (415) 230-4963, or email erik.nylund@crowe.com.

Crowe LLP



Page 8

Attachment A: Rate Application



Attachment A includes the 2021 Base Year Rate Change Application (Application) submitted by CGS to the County November 20, 2020. In the Application, CGS proposed to increase collection rates by 10.56 percent for 2021. The Application included the following forms:

- Financial information
- Cost Summary for 2019
- Revenue Summary
- Single Family Residential Summary (note that the current rates shown on this page of the Application were incorrect)
- Operating Information
- Rate Change Requested (note that the current rates shown on this page of the Application were incorrect).

Information provided in the Application was for the following four (4) years:

- Actual prior years, 2017, 2018, 2019
- Current year estimated, 2020
- Base year projected, 2021.





REPUBLIC SERVICES

3260 BLUME DRIVE • SUITE 115 • RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA 94806

November 20, 2020

Ms. Deidra Dingman, Conservation Programs Manager Contra Costa County Department of Community Development 30 Muir Road Martinez, CA 94553

Dear Ms. Dingman:

Re: APPLICATION FOR 2021 BASE YEAR RATE ADJUSTMENT -CROCKETT-TORMEY-PORT COSTA

As provided for in the Franchise Agreement between Contra Costa County and Crockett Garbage Service dated November 5, 1996, as amended, and in accordance with the Rate Setting Process and Methodology Manuel for Solid Waste Charges dated June 30, 2003, enclosed is the application for Base Year Rate Adjustment to be effective May 1, 2021.

We will appreciate an opportunity to go over this Application with you at your earliest convenience to discuss any concerns.

In the meantime, inasmuch as it does contain proprietary information, it is respectfully requested the Application be held in the strictest of confidence. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Very truly yours,

aher

Shawn Moberg General Manager

Enclosure

Cc: J. Coverston W. Lau B. Moore



Contra Costa County (Crockett)

Base Year Rate Change A pplication

Financial Information								·	
	F		His	Actual torical Years			Estimated Current Year	Project Base Y	
	Ē	Year 1 2017		Year 2 2018		Year 3 2019	Year 4 2020	Year 2021	5
				wable Costs					
1. Direct Labor 2. Tipping Fees (Profit Allowed)	5	233,453 136,067	s	235,058	s	231,568 143,682	\$ 224,603 137,665		33.58
3. Corporate and Local General and Administrative Costs		80.497		91,958		76.039	79,119		81.49
4. Depreciation and Other Operating Costs 5. Services Provided to County		1.032		1,006		829	864		89
6. Trucking and Equipment		78,874		81,417		81,539	66,578		69,24
7. Total Allowable Costs (Lines 1+2+3+4+5+6)	S	529,923	\$	544,686	\$	533,658	\$ 508,829	\$ 5	27,00
		Section II Al	llowab	le Operating	Profit				
8 Operating Ratio 9 Allowable Operating Profit [(Line 7 / 0.9)-Line 7]	5	90% 58.880		90% 60,521	\$	90% 59,295	90% \$ 56,537		90 58.55
	Section II	I Pass Throu	ugh Co	osts without	Franchi	se Fees			
	5		5		5		s	15	
10. County Administrative Fee 11. Tipping Fees (Pass Through)	Ľ,	92,312	1	114,751	-	122,857	123,349	1	27.661
12 Trucking and Equipment (Equipment Rental)		53,107		57,258		55,652	55.976		55,976
13. Total Pass Through Costs (without Franchise Fees) (Lines 8+9)	5	145,419		172,009		178,508	\$ 179,325	<u> \$ 1</u>	83,64
	Section IV	Revenue Re	equire	ment without	Franch	nise Fees			
14 Total Allowable Costs (Line 7) plus Allowable Operating Profit (Line 9) plus Total Pass Through Costs (without Franchise Fees) (Line 13)	5	734,222	\$	777,216	\$	771,461	\$ 744,690	\$ 7	69,20
15 Less Credit for Enhanced Services per Post-Collection Agreement								\$	•
16. Adjusted Revenue Requirement (without Franchise Fees) (line 14-15)								5 7	69,204
	Section	- Revenues	without	t Pata Chan	no in Ra	Ka Yaar			
	Section V	Revenues	withou	it Kate chang	je in Da	se real			
17. Residential Revenues 18. Less Allowance for Uncollectible Residential Accounts									10.323
19. Total Residential Revenues (without Rate Change in Base Year)	5	592,933	s	664.871	\$	701.371	\$ 705,351	\$ 7	05,351
20. Commercial and Light Industrial Revenues								\$	90.30
21. Less Allowance for uncollectable Commercial and Light Industrial Accounts 22. Total Commercial/Light Industrial Revenues (without Rate Change in Base Year)	S	69.612	112	60,018	1	66.886	\$ 89,677	15	(63)
22. Total Commercial/Light industrial Revenues (without Rate Change in base Tear)	<u> </u>	09.012	<u> </u>	00,010					
23. Recycled Material Sales (N/A if Use IRRF)	S	3,666	5	(11,531)	5	(49,837)	\$ (51,855	<u>s</u> (51.85
24. Total Revenues (Lines 19+22+23)	\$	666,211	\$	713,359	\$	718,420	\$ 743,173	\$ 7	43,173
		Section VI	Net S	hortfall (Sur	olus)				
25. Net Shortfall (Surplus) without Franchise Fees (Lines 16-Line 24)								\$	26,031
26. Residential / Commercial / Light Industrial Franchise Fees (see calculation below)	S	25,900	5	57,241	\$	59,323	\$ 56,052	(\$	57,897
27. Net Shortfall (Surplus) with Franchise Fees (Lines 25+26)								\$	83,92
28 Contribution From/(To) Rate Stabilization Fund								\$	
29. Adjusted Net Shortfall (Surplus) with Franchise Fees (Lines 27+28)								\$	83,928
		Section VII I	Percer	nt Change in	Rates				
30. Total Commercial / Light Industrial Revenues Prior to Rate Change (Lines 19+22)								\$ 7	95,02
31. Percent Change in Existing Residential / Commercial / Light Industrial Rates (Line 29 / Line 30))							41 2000	10.56
Franchise Fee Calculation					Sur	nmary Reven	ue Requirement		
Franchise fees are set by the County at a percent of the revenue requirement		32	Total	Allowable Co				- \$ 5	27.006
Solve for two equations with one unknown, and identify franchise fees as X =>		33	Allow	able Operation	g Profit	s (Line 9)			58.556
Equation 1) Revenue Requirement x Franchise Fee % = X						ranchise Fee	s (Line 13+26)		41,539
Equation 2) Revenue Requirement = Line 13 + X, or \$ +X Substitute equation 2) into equation 1) and solve for X =>		35.	Reve	nue Requiren	ient			\$ 8	27,101
(\$ + X) x Franchise Fee % = X or X =	_								



Contra Costa County (Crockett) Base Year Rate Change Appl	ication		
Cost Summary for Year _2015			
Section VIII Ba	se Year Cost	Allocation	
Description of Cost		2019 Crockett	Allocation Base(s)
Labor - Regular	s	176,250	Labor Hours
Labor - Overtime	-	-	Labor Hours
Benefits		44,257	Labor Hours
Payroll Taxes		11,061	Labor Hours
3. Total Direct Labor	S	231,568	
Total Tipping Fees (Profit Allowed)	\$	143,682	Actual Tons
Bank Fees	S	7,137	Accounts
Bonus Pay Corporate	\$	-	Accounts
Collection Fees	S	59	Accounts
Computer Services		-	Accounts
Consulting and Professional Fees		136	Direct
Dues and Subscriptions			Accounts
Insurance		14,211 1,962	Accounts
Laundry and Uniforms Management Fees/Corproate Overhead		42,447	Accounts
Miscellaneous and Other		(92)	Accounts
Office Expenses		-	Accounts
Office Repair and Maintenance		-	Accounts
Outsource Billing		500	Accounts
Postage			Accounts
Public Relations and Promotion			Accounts
Relocation and Recruiting Sales and Marketing Misc.		333	Accounts
Taxes and Licenses			Accounts
Telephone		71	Accounts
Travel		-	Accounts
Utilities		9,275	Accounts
5. Total Corporate and Local General and Administrative Costs	S	76,039	
Depreciation-Buildings	S	- 1	
Depreciation-Office Furniture and Equipment	-	-	Accounts
Depreciation-Vehicles			Direct
Depreciation-Containers		-	Direct
Depreciation-Equipment		-	Direct
Other Operating Costs		829	Accounts
86. Total Depreciation and Other Operating Costs	s	829	
77. Total Services Provided to County	S	·	Direct
8. Total Allowable Costs (Lines 33+34+35+36+37)	\$	452,119	
89. Total County Administration Fee			
Equipment Rental	S	55,652	Direct
Gas and Oil		31,249	Accounts
Parts		14,108	Accounts
Repair and Maintenance		1,511	Accounts Accounts
Tires Other		6,379 28,292	Accounts
0. Total Trucking and Equipment	S	137,191	Accounts
1. Total Tipping Fees (Pass Through)	s	122,857	Actual Tons
	S	59,323	Direct
42. Total Residential/Commercial/Light Industrial Franchise Fees			Dieu
43. Total Pass Through Costs (Lines 39+40+41+42)	S	319,370	
44. Total Costs (Lines 38+43)	S	771,489	
ear: 2016			Page 2 d



Contra Costa County (Crockett)

Base Year Rate Change Application

	nues Summary	ST Steale	Section	IX	Revenues						
					Actual				Estimated	Proje	octed
				His	torical Years	(i)			urrent Year	Base	
			Year 1	T	Year 2		Year 3	Ĕ	Year 4		ar 5
			2017		2018		2019		2020	20	
	Single Family Residential Services			-				-			
3.	Single Family Residential Revenues	\$	597,113	\$	669,558	\$	706,315	\$	710,323	5	710,3
	Multiunit Residential Services										
4.	Number of Accounts			T							
5.	Multiunit Residential Revenues	\$	· ·	\$	•	\$	-	\$	- 3	5	-
6.	Residential Revenues (w/o Allowable for Uncollectible Accounts) (Lines 43+45)	\$	597,113	\$	669,558	\$	706,315	\$	710,323	5	710,3
7.	Allowance for Uncollectible Residential Accounts	\$	4,180	\$	4,687	\$	4,944	\$	4,972	6	4,9
8.	Total Residential Revenues (Line 46 - Line 47)	\$	592,933	\$	664,871	\$	701,371	\$	705,351	5	705,3
	Commercial and Light Industrial Can Services										
9.	Number of Accounts										
0.	Commercial and Light Industrial Can Revenues	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- [5	
	Commercial and Light Industrial Bin Services										<u>an 11</u>
	Number of Accounts										
2.	Commercial and Light Industrial Bin Revenues	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- [5	5	
	Commercial and Light Industrial Drop Box Services	-									
	Number of Accounts		5		5		5	_	6		
4.	Commercial and Light Industrial Drop Box Revenues	S	70,102	\$	60,442	\$	67,357	5	90,309	5	90,3
5.	Commercial and Light Industrial Revenues (w/o Allowance for	() <u>-</u>									
	Uncollectible Accounts) (Lines 50+52+54)	\$	70,102	\$	60,442	\$	67,357	\$	90,309	5	90,3
6.	Allowance for Uncollectible Commercial and Light Industrial Accounts	\$	491	\$	423	\$	471	\$	632	5	(
7.	Total Commercial and Light Industrial Revenues (Line 55 - Line 56)	\$	69,612	\$	60,018	\$	66,886	\$	89,677	5	89,6
8.	Recycled Material Sales	\$	3,666	\$	(11,531)	\$	(49,837)	\$	(51,855)	5	(51,8
	Total Revenues (Lines 48+57+58)	s	666.211	Is	713.359	S	718,420	S	743,173		743.1

Page 3 of 6



ontra Costa County (Crockett)			
Base Year Rate Cha	ange Application		
ngle Family Residential Revenues Summary			
Section X Sin	gle Family Residential Revenues	and Customer Counts	
			2021
			Base Year
		L	Revenues
Single Family Residential Revenues (w/o Rate Change in Base Year)			
	Current	Projected	
Residential-Curbside	Rate/Month	Accounts	Total a/
35 Gallon Container	40.19	789	380,5
45 Gallon Container	51.54	3	1,8
65 Gallon Container	66.81	142	113,8
95 Gallon Container	80.13	12	11,5
20 Gallon Mini-Can	34.66	04	34,3
	Current	Projected	
Residential-Backyard	Rate/Month	Accounts	Total
20 Gallon Mini-Can	34.66	83	34,5
35 Gallon Container	41.12	113	55,7
45 Gallon Container	52.53	11	6,93
. Total Base Year Single Family Residential Revenues		1,154	\$ 605.3

^{a/} Equal to the current rate per month multiplied by 12 multiplied by the projected number of accounts.

Page 4 of 6

Year:



Year:

Contra Costa County (Crockett)

Base Year Rate Change Application

	1003	r				0		Deve Vees	I	Base Year
		I February	Percent	Historical	Percent	Current Year Estimated	Percent	Base Year Projected	Percent	Projected
		Historical Information	Change	Information	Change	Information	Change	Information	Change	Information
		Year 1	Year 1 to 2	Year 2	Year 2 to 3	Year 3	Year 3 to 4	Year 4	Year 4 to 5	Year 5
		2017	Teal 1 to 2	2018	100 2 10 5	2019	104 0 10 4	2020	100 4100	2021
		Sector March 19			Sectio	n XI Operatin	ig Data			
	Accounts									
61.	Residential	1,271	0.00%	1,271	0.31%		0.47%	1,281	0.00%	1,28
62.	Commercial	28	-3.57%	27	0.00%	27	-7.41%	25	0.00%	2
63.	Light Industrial	5	0.00%	5	0.00%	5	20.00%	6	0.00%	
64.	Total Accounts	1,304	-0.08%	1,303	0.31%	1,307	0.38%	1,312	0.00%	1,31
	Waste Tonnage									
65.	Residential	1,463	-6.03%	1,374	-7.38%	1,273	-12.37%	1,116	0.00%	1,11
66.	Residential Greenwaste	887	-28.10%	637	-9.20%	579	7.18%	620	0.00%	62
67.	Commercial	12	40.47%	16	16.09%	19	2.98%	19	0.00%	1
68.	Light Industrial	96	-21.86%	75	11.82%	84	-18.24%	69	0.00%	6
	Total Tonnage	2,457	-14.40%	2,103	-7.06%	1,955	-6.68%	1,824	0.00%	1,82
	Recyclable Tonnage									
70.	Residential	354	38.24%	489	8.16%	529	1.53%	538	0.00%	53
71.	Commercial		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
72	Light Industrial	103	0	153	1	256	0	232	0	23
	Total Tonnage	457	40.58%	642	22.24%	785	-2.05%	769	0.00%	76
	County Services									
74	County Bins	70	0.00%	70	0.00%	70	0.00%	70	0.00%	7
	County Drop Boxes		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!		#DIV/0!	
		Strating of Lands	Salara Salara		Section XII 0	Change in Com	mercial Rates			
76	1 Yard Bin Once per Week	\$ 138.45	8.49%	\$ 150.20	4.27%	\$ 156.61	2.72%	\$ 160.87	10.56%	\$ 177.85
	2 Yard Bin - Once per Week	185.98	8.49%	201.77	4.27%	210.39	2.72%	216.11	10.56%	238.92
11.										

.

Page 5 of 6



orporated Ares: <u>All Aress</u> Rate Change Requested	Rate Change Rate Schedule			10.56%
				10.56%
	Rate Schedule			10.56%
	Rate Schedule			
	Rate Schedule			
				New
	Current Rate	New Rate, Before		
Rate schedule	(w/o IRRF)	Adjustment	Adjustment (a)	Rate
Residential-Curbside 35 Gallon Container	\$ 40.19	44.43	0.02	44.45
45 Gallon Container	\$ 51.54	56.98	0.02	57.00
65 Gallon Container	\$ 66.81	73.86	(0.01)	73.85
95 Gallon Container	\$ 80.13 \$ 34.66	88.59 38.32	0.01 (0.02)	88.60 38.30
20 Gallon Mini-Can	\$ 34.00	30.32	(0.02)	38.30
Residential-Backyard			(0.00)	
20 Gallon Mini-Can 35 Gallon Container	\$ 34.66 \$ 41.12	38.32 45.46	(0.02)	38.30 45.45
45 Gallon Container	\$ 52.53	58.08	0.02	58.10
Multiunit Residential	Rate increase of to all rates in eac the nearest \$0.05	h structure with each	will be applied rate rounded up or d	own to

Page 16



Page 17

Attachment B: Adjusted Rate Model



Exhibit B-1, on the next page, of this appendix provides the adjusted base year rate model based on Crowe adjustments. The model reflects the following general adjustments:

Revenues

• No changes

Allowable Costs/Profits

- Minor \$1,637 reduction to direct labor
- Moderate \$15,910 reduction to tipping fee costs (with profit), including a reduction of \$13,750 for the reduction from the \$50 per ton allowed with profit to \$45 per ton allowed with profit and an amount of \$2,160 to use an escalation factor of 1.6% compared to 3%
- Minor \$1,115 reduction to corporate and local general and administrative costs
- Minor \$1,604 reduction to truck and equipment costs
- Minor \$12 reduction to depreciation and other operating costs
- Minor \$2,253 reduction to allowable operating profit
- Moderate \$11,391 increase to tipping fee costs (a pass-through expense)
- Minor \$838 reduction to franchise fees (a pass-through expense).



		County						
		ase Year Inge Appli	ication					
Fin	ancial Information Rate Cha	inge Appli	cation					
			Actual		Estimated	Projected	Crowe	Adjusted
		Year 1	Historical Years Year 2	Year 3	Current Year Year 4	Base Year Year 5	Adjustments	Base Year Year 5
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	1	2021
_	Section 1	- Allowable Co	sts					
1.	Direct Labor	233,453	235,058	231,568	224,603	233,587	(1.637)	231,950
2.	Tipping Fees (Profit Allowed @ \$45/ton)	136,067	135,247	143,682	137,665	141,795	(15,910)	125,885
3. 4.	Corporate and Local General and Administrative Costs Trucking and Equipment	80,497		76,039 81,539	79,119 66,578	81,492 69,241	(1,115) (1,604)	80,377 67,637
5.	Depreciation and Other Operating Costs	1,032	1,006	829	864	890	(12)	878
6. 7.	Services Provided to County Total Allowable Costs (Lines 1+2+3+4+5+6)	- 529,923	- 544,686	- 533,657	- 508,829	- 527,005	(20,279)	506,726
	Section II - Allo	wahle Onerati	na Profit					
8. 9.	Operating Ratio Allowable Operating Profit [(Line 7 / Line 8) - Line 7	90.0% 58,880	90.0% 60,521	90.0% 59,295	90.0% 56,537	90.0% 58,556		90.0% 56,303
	Section III - Pass Throug	nh Coste withou	ut Eranchiso Eo	00				
		gii coata milito				-		
10. 11.	Administrative Fee Trucking and Equipment (Equipment Rental)	- 53,107	- 57,258	- 55,652	- 55,976	- 55,976	-	- 55,976
12.	Tipping Fees (Pass Through) Total Pass Through costs (without Franchise Fees) (Lines 10+11)	92,312	114,751	122,857 178,509	123,349	127,667		139,058 195,034
13.	Total Pass Through costs (without Franchise Fees) (Lines 10+11,	145,419	172,009	170,509	179,325	183,643	11,391	195,034
	Section IV - Revenue Rec	quirement with	out Franchise F	ees				
14.	Total Allowable Costs (Line 7) plus Allowable Operating Profit (Line 9) plus							
	Total Pass Through Costs (without Franchise Fees) (Line 13)	734,222	777,216	771,462	744,691	769,204	11,140	758,064
15.	Less Credit for Enhanced Services per Post-Collection Agreement					-		
16.	Adjusted Revenue Requirement (withouth Franchise Fees) (line 14-15					769,204	(11,140)	758,064
_	Section V - Revenue wit	thout Rate Cha	inge in Base Ye	ar				
17.	Residential Revenue					710,323	-	710,323
18.	Less Allowance for Uncollectible Residential Account:					4,972		4,972
19.	Total Residential Revenue (without Rate Change in Base Year	592,933	664,871	701,371	705,351	705,351	<u> </u>	705,351
20. 21.	Commercial and Light Industrial Revenue Less Allowance for Uncollectible Commercial and Light Industrial Account:					90,309 632		90,309 632
22.	Total Commercial/Light Industrial Revenue (without Rate Change in Base Year	69,612	60,018	66,886	89,677	89,677	-	89,677
23.	Recycled Material Sales	3,666	(11,531)	(49,837)	(51,855)	(51,855	-	(51,855)
							•	
24.	Total Revenue (Lines 19+22+23)	666,211	713,358	718,420	743,173	743,173		743,173
	Section VI - N	let Shortfall (S	urplus)					
25.	Net Shortfall (Surplus) without Franchise Fees (Line 16 - Line 24					26,032	(11,140)	14,891
							(0.0.0)	
26.	Residential/Commercial/Light Industrial Franchise Fees (see calculation below	25,900	57,241	59,323	56,052	57,897	(838)	57,059
27.	Net Shortfall (Surplus) with Franchise Fees (Line 25 + 26					83,929	(11,978)	71,950
28.	Contribution From/(To) Rate Stabilization Func					-	· ·	
29.	Adjusted Net Shortfall (Surplus) with Franchise Fees (Lines 27+28					83,929	11,978	71,950
	Section VII D	areant Change	in Rates					
	Section VII - Pe		In rates					
30.	Total Residential/Commercial/Light Industrial Revenue Prior to Rate Change (Lines	19 + 22)				795,028		795,028
31.	Percent Change in Existing Residential/Commercial/Light Industrial Rates (Line 29 /	/Line 3C				10.56%	-1.51%	9.05%
_							_	
	Franchise Fee Calculation		Summary Reve	nue Require	ment			
		wable Costs (I				527,005	(20,279)	506,726
		e Operating Pro		ise Fees) (l	_ine13+26	58,556 241,540		56,303 252,093
			sts (with Franci					
	35. 2020 Rev		ment (Lines 32- 7.00%			827,101	(11,978)	815,123

County Base Year



Page 20

Attachment C: Comparative Rate Survey



Tables C-1 and C-2 on the following page includes results of a survey of comparative residential and commercial rates. We provide comparisons between CGS rates and the following ten (10) similar jurisdictions:

• Albany

•

- Oakland •
- Berkeley ٠
- Pinole • Richmond •
- El Cerrito • Hercules
- Rodeo •
- Kensington •
- San Pablo ٠

It is important to provide context and caveats for making comparisons of rates to other jurisdictions. For example, other jurisdiction's rates may be higher or lower based on factors such as different:

- Levels of refuse collection service •
- Historical rate setting practices •
- Franchise terms and conditions (e.g., term)
- Cost structures •
- **Cross-subsidies** .
- Equipment/vehicle procurement and maintenance practices •
- Franchise fee levels •
- Levels of jurisdictional "free" services •
- Rate structures. .



Table C-1 Crockett Garbage Service Inc. Comparison of Residential Rates with Neighboring Jurisdictions (Per Customer, Per Month)

Jurisdiction	20 Gallon	32 Gallon	64 Gallon	96 Gallon
Albany	\$40.05	\$44.84	\$77.51	\$110.15
Berkeley	27.30	43.66	87.28	130.87
El Cerrito	44.72	58.60	116.37	N/A
Hercules	34.11	40.15	70.83	102.43
Kensington	45.04	49.04	-	-
Oakland	25.21	41.06	81.51	122.02
Pinole	31.11	37.21	66.28	96.29
Richmond	33.97	41.18	77.97	115.80
Rodeo	27.95	29.99	36.59	50.31
San Pablo	27.44	33.59	65.11	97.59
Mean	\$33.69	\$41.93	\$75.49	\$103.18
Crockett Curbside (current)	\$29.80	\$35.33	\$61.95	\$75.27
\$ Difference from Mean	\$(3.89)	\$(6.60)	\$(13.54)	\$(27.91)
% Difference from Mean	-11.55%	-15.74%	-17.94%	-27.05%

Table C-2 Crockett Garbage Service Inc. Comparison of Commercial Rates with Neighboring Jurisdictions (Per Customer, Per Month)

Jurisdiction	2 yd x 1 time/wk.	2 yd x 2 times/wk.
Albany	\$357.34	\$714.68
Berkeley	293.84	617.02
El Cerrito	774.61	1,501.23
Hercules	500.73	919.60
Kensington	107.98	215.96
Oakland	501.28	1,002.59
Pinole	481.77	889.90
Richmond	468.43	862.86
Rodeo	194.46	388.89
San Pablo	442.78	816.29
Mean	\$412.32	\$792.90
Crockett Curbside (current)	\$216.11	\$326.52
\$ Difference from Mean	\$(196.21)	\$(466.38)
% Difference from Mean	-47.59%	-58.82%