

Attachment A to Resolution No. 2020/37

Re: Request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the Allocation of Fiscal Year 2020/2021 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Project Funding

Findings

Page 1 of 1

1. That the Contra Costa County is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the Contra Costa County legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in “Attachment B” of this resolution.
2. That the Contra Costa County has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the Contra Costa County within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B is included in a locally approved bicycle, pedestrian, transit, multimodal, complete streets, or other relevant plan.
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a bikeway meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B will be completed before the funds expire.
11. That the Contra Costa County agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: **2020/2021** Applicant: **Contra Costa County Public Works**

Contact person: **Jeff Valeros**

Mailing Address: **255 Glacier Drive, Martinez, CA 94553**

E-Mail Address: **jeff.valeros@pw.cccounty.us** Telephone: **925-313-2031**

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available)

E-Mail Address: **larry.leong@pw.cccounty.us** Telephone: **925-313-2026**

Short Title Description of Project: Mayhew Way and Cherry Lane Trail Crossing Enhancements

Amount of claim: **\$100,000**

Functional Description of Project:

The purpose of this project is to improve safety for trail users at the regional trail crossings at the Iron Horse Trail and Mayhew Way intersection and at the Contra Costa Canal Trail and Cherry Lane intersection. Rectangular rapid flash beacons (RRFBs) with passive detection are the main enhancements to be installed at these two intersections.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

Project Elements: Engineering and Construction

| Funding Source | All Prior FYs | Application FY | Next FY | Following FYs | Totals |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|---------------|-----------|
| TDA Article 3 | | \$100,000 | | | \$100,000 |
| list all other sources: | | | | | |
| 1. Local Funds | | \$211,000 | | | \$211,000 |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | |
| Totals | | \$311,000 | | | \$311,000 |

| Project Eligibility: | YES?/NO? |
|---|----------|
| A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated). February 11, 2020 | PENDING |
| B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page. | NO |
| C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov). | YES |
| D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC: December 9, 2019 | YES |
| E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction). | YES |
| F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) 12/2022 | YES |
| G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____) | YES |