Exhibit F

Contra Costa Health Service Department

Contra Costa Council on Homelessness

Draft Meeting Minutes Excerpt: Framework for Regulating Cannabis in the Unincorporated Area of Contra Costa County

- I. Cannabis Regulation Presentation (Ruben Hernandez)
 - A. 2016 Prop 64 to allow the commercial cultivation and use of cannabis
 - B. November 2017 BOS voted to prohibit to commercial cannabis uses
 - 1. Summer 2017 looking to establish regulations around commercial uses
 - C. Zoning Districts different districts represented by different colors
 - 1. Green Agricultural Zoning looking to allow cultivation; also looking to allow some manufacturing in those districts
 - 2. Planned Unit (P-1) have all different types of uses. Thinking of allowing all different uses.
 - 3. Retail not a lot available after applying buffets.
 - 4. General allow sales and manufacturing
 - 5. Industrial thinking of allowing most everything
 - D. To sum it up, cannabis will be regulated like alcohol, so every gram or ounce will tracked and regulated (ideally)
 - E. Identifying sensitive sites and then buffering those sensitive sites. Would like to keep sites free from cannabis this being promoted at state level
 - 1. Include: Schools, homeless shelters, others
 - 2. Buffers of 1,000 feet of a sensitive site.
 - 3. Also thinking of having a 500 ft buffer in residential site (state does not have residential buffer)
 - F. Also working with health dept to come up with some health standards.
 - G. Being considered because of taxes
 - 1. Local jurisdictions can tax. State is also collecting money and grant money will be available only to the jurisdictions that allow sale/cultivation of cannabis.
 - H. Ballot in November will ask voters if they would like to approve a cannabis tax (not sure about amount). If that measure passes then the ordinance goes through. But if it fails the ordinances will go away. The BOS has indicated they don't want anything to do with it if there won't be revenue attached.
 - 1. Cannabis tax will only be for unincorporated areas of Contra Costa County.
 - I. Going to about 27 community groups throughout the county. Will go to BOS on April 24 to provide update on the outreach.
 - 1. Shortly after (May) go to County Planning with Draft Ordinance, then go to BOS in June/July with Ordinance to be ready in November.

Exhibit F

Contra Costa Health Service Department

- J. Community Input
 - Can go to County's website to fill out a survey: www.cccounty.us/cannabis. Can also sign up to get
 - 2. Q: How much are permits and how much will the county make?
 - a) Haven't discussed cost. A lot of jurisdictions are 3-7% but a lot of jurisdictions lowering
 - 3. Q: Do you have a sense of where the tax would go?
 - a) It will be general fund
 - b) State money will go toward law enforcement, prevent youth drug use but county will be for anything.
 - 4. Q: Are there buffers for alcohol? Do those mirror what you present for cannabis?
 - a) There is a buffer for tobacco but not for alcohol.
 - 5. Q: You identified that homeless shelters are a sensitive sites, how many homeless shelters are in unincorporated areas?
 - a) Jaime There are some but I don't know if there are any county ones.
 - 6. Q: Does the buffer only apply up to incorporated area?
 - a) Buffer will stop at jurisdictions lines
 - b) Most jurisdictions adopting buffers but sensitive sites varies
 - 7. Q: I'm curious about the caps and the inclusion of caps when you don't know what the flow will be?
 - a) Alameda adopted cap of 5 or 6 commercial cultivation and 5 retail sites for unincorporated for Alameda.
 - b) Main reason is that we don't want a proliferation of 4 retail sites in a row. Might reassess.
 - c) We are definitely evaluating and doing outreach to see how the community feels.
 - 8. Q: Is the Board considering any language around earmarking tax funds for specific purposes?
 - a) This is coming up more and more. BOS is telling this will be general fund so it's simple majority; if specific use tax it needs % approval.
 - b) Patrice My concern is that the County is jumping the gun. Really consider having a fine balance and don't be so quick to place limitations. Balance with the revenue source that this can be to support programs.

Exhibit F

Contra Costa Health Service Department

- c) Alejandra Take opposite approach and be more conservative. What have communities been saying?
 - (1) Bay Point community was concerned about it. But you have some more interested than others.
 - (2) South County have lots of opposition but OK with outdoor personal cultivation. Pacheco seemed to be OK with it. North Richmond hesitant but if there's money wants it to come back to them.
- d) In Unincorporated CCC, 63% voted in favor of Prop 64.
- 9. Q: Doing any equity analysis around who is getting permits? Looking at disparities and inequities in who might be prohibited.
 - a) Got this comment in North Richmond, so starting to look at it.
 - b) Oakland and SF did reports on these, you should look at these.
- 10. Q: What is the the prioritization of local residents vs outside residents in the permitting cap process? Are you looking at prioritizing businesses in this county?
 - a) We haven't started to analyze this yet.
 - b) John B. Missing opportunity to not have specialized funding; hesitant to make this a general fund tax. Don't runaway from this.