

This table comes from a more detailed report prepared in 2012 by a consultant hired by the County.

**Table 1. COMPARISON OF POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF COLLECTION SYSTEM OPTIONS**

Collection System Options	Ability to Generate Additional Fees	Additional AB 939 Diversion Opportunities	Impact on Illegal Dumping <sup>(1)</sup>	Potential Impact to Rate Payers	Ease of Administration
Open-Market Options					
Traditional Open-Market Options					
<div>Unregulated Open-Market System</div> <div>(EXISTING – 2015)</div>	None  <b>D</b>	None  <b>D</b>	May increase relative to other options if unregulated "fringe haulers" illegally dump collected materials  <b>D</b>	Potential for lowest rates for some haulers  <b>A</b>	None  <b>A</b>
<div>Regulated Open-Market Permit System With Limited Permit Requirements</div> <div>(PROPOSED ORDINANCE)</div>	Can require basic permit fees (e.g., set amount and/or fee per truck)  <b>B</b>	Can require material be diverted but difficult to enforce  <b>B</b>	May be reduced relative to Unregulated Open-Market System as a result of greater regulatory oversight.  <b>B</b>	Potential for lower rates relative to Closed-Market Options  <b>B</b>	Low  <b>B</b>
Enhanced Regulated Open-Market Options					
<div>Regulated Open-Market Permit System with Enhanced Permit Requirements</div>	Can establish and collect permit fees (based on tonnage, gross revenues or other factors)  <b>A</b>	Can require minimum diversion levels or that material be delivered to certified facilities  <b>A</b>	May be reduced relative to Unregulated Open-Market System as a result of greater regulatory oversight  <b>B</b>	Rates likely to be relatively higher than Open-Market Options due to Permit requirements  <b>C</b>	Highest  <b>D</b>
<div>Regulated Open-Market Non-Exclusive Franchise with No Limit on the Number of Franchised Haulers</div>	Can establish and collect franchise fees (based on tonnage, gross revenues or other factors)  <b>A</b>				
Closed-Market Options					
<div>Closed-Market with a Limited Number of Non-Exclusive Franchised Haulers</div>	Can establish and collect franchise fees  <b>A</b>	Can require minimum diversion levels or that material be delivered to certified facilities  <b>A</b>	May be reduced relative to Open-Market Options due to stricter operating requirements that may eliminate "fringe haulers"  <b>B</b>	Rates likely to be relatively higher than Open-Market Options due to Franchise requirements  <b>C</b>	Highest  <b>D</b>
<div>Closed-Market with a Single Exclusive Hauler</div> <div>(EXCLUSIVE FRANCHISE)</div>				Rates may be somewhat higher than Non-Exclusive Franchise due to lack of market pressures; but less so if competitively bid.  <b>C</b>	High  <b>C</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Greater regulatory control would be expected to reduce any illegal dumping by certain "fringe haulers" assuming they no longer operated, but any associated increase in rates may result in increased illegal dumping by waste generators.

Legend	
Most Preferable Option	<b>A</b>
More Favorable	<b>B</b>
Less Favorable	<b>C</b>
Least Preferable Option	<b>D</b>

**NOTE:** Information contained in this Table will be supplemented by County staff in the report to be provided to the Board of Supervisors in November 2017.

SOURCE: 2012 Consultant Report