

Table 4. MRP 2.0 Additional Provision Costs: Mercury Load Reduction Cost Summary

Provision Number	Requirement	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
a.i.	Implement Mercury control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.ii.1	Identify management areas with control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.ii.2.	Identify current and new control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.ii.3.	Develop implementation schedule (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.ii.4.	Implement Green Infrastructure control measure (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.iii.1.	Progress report on control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.iii.2.	Develop status for each Annual Report (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
a.iii.3.	Update on control measures, source properties, milestones (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.i.	Develop load reduction assessment methodology (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.ii.	Calculate Mercury load reduction each year (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.iii.1.	Submit assessment methodology (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.iii.2.	Provide Mercury load reductions each year (included in b.ii) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
b.iii.3	Update assessment methodology (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.i.	Minimum GI projects for Mercury (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.ii.1	Implement GI projects for specified reduction (included in a.ii.4) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.ii.2.	Reasonable assurance analysis on GI projects (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.iii.1.	Report on GI and Mercury load reduction (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.iii.2.	Report on land area treated by GI (BASMAA) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.iii.3.	Submit a reasonable assurance analysis (included in c.ii.2) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
c.iii.4.	Report on Mercury removed with GI (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d.i.	Implementation plan for TMDL waste-load allocations (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d.ii.1.	Identify control measures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,000
d.ii.2.	Implement control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d.ii.3.	Evaluate effectiveness of control measures (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d.iii.	Submit implementation plan (included in d.ii.1) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
e.i.-iii.	Risk reduction program (included in MRP 1.0) (see Note 1)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Costs		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,000

Note: 1. Almost all of the costs to implement the Mercury requirements are covered by the PCB implementation costs. In meeting PCB load reduction requirements, the County also meets the Mercury load reduction requirements.