Preliminary Comparison of Three Transportation Funding and Reform Proposals as of March 1, 2016

| | SBX1 1 (Beall) as of | AB 1591 (Frazier) as of | Governor's Proposal from |
|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| | Sept. 1, 2015 | Jan. 6, 2016 | Sept. 6, 2015 |
| Funding | | | |
| Gas Excise Tax Increase | 12 cents (\$2b) | 22.5 cents (\$3.5b) | None |
| Price-Based Excise Tax Adjustment Reset | 17.3 cents (\$900m) | 17.3 cents (\$900m) | 18 cents (\$900m) ¹ |
| - CPI adjustment applied to entire excise tax | Every 3 years | Every 3 years | Every year |
| Diesel Excise Tax Increase | 22 cents (\$600m) | 30 cents (\$800m) | 11 cents (\$300m) |
| - CPI adjustment applied to entire excise tax | Every 3 years | Every 3 years | Every year |
| Vehicle Registration Fee Increase | \$35 (\$1b) | \$38 (\$1b) | None |
| Road Access Fee/Highway User Fee | \$35 (\$1b) | None | \$65 (\$2b) |
| ZEV-specific Fee | \$100 (\$25m) | \$165 (\$35m) | None |
| - Total Vehicle Fee Increase | \$70 (\$170 for ZEVs) | \$38 (\$203 for ZEVs) | \$65 |
| Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (Cap & Trade) | None | TIRCP ² from 10% to 20% (\$200m) | TIRCP - \$400m |
| | | TCIF – 20% (\$400m) | Complete Streets - \$100m |
| Weight Fees | None | Returned immediately ³ | None |
| General Fund Loan Repayments | Over 3 yrs, to RMRA ⁴ | Over 2 yrs, directly to locals ⁵ | By 6/30/19, to various accts ⁶ |
| Caltrans Efficiencies | Up to 30% (\$500m) | None | \$100m |
| Estimated Total Annual Funding Increase ⁷ | ~ \$6 billion | ~ \$7 billion | ~ \$3.7 billion |
| Estimated Annual Funding for Local Streets and Roads ⁸ | ~\$1.9 billion | ~\$2.2 billion | ~\$1.0 billion |

¹ The Governor's proposal doesn't reset the price-based excise tax until the 2017-18 fiscal year.

² Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program, a competitive grant program administered by the Transportation Agency.

³ The weight fees would not be transferred from the State Highway Account and instead be available for traditional uses including SHOPP, STIP, and local roads through existing formulas. Therefore they are not included in the Estimated Total Annual Funding Increase, but would result in roughly \$1 billion more funding.

⁴ The Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account, created in SB 1x1.

⁵ Through Streets and Highways Code Section 2103 formula. Funds allocated with assumption that local agencies have project "shelf" that can accommodate new funding.

⁶ \$132 million highway maintenance, \$265 million for TIRCP, \$334 million for trade corridors, \$148 million for Traffic Congestion Relief Program.

⁷ Roughly estimated, annualized over ten years. Figures may not add up due to rounding.

⁸ Excludes one-time cap and trade revenues for complete streets projects.

| | SBX1 1 (Beall) as of Sept. 1, 2015 | AB 1591 (Frazier) as of Jan. 6, 2016 | Governor's Proposal from Sept. 6, 2015 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Expenditures | | n g | |
| Gas Excise Tax Increase | RMRA | RMRA | - |
| Diesel Excise Tax Increase | 10 cents to RMRA 12 cents to TCIF | All to TCIF | RMRA |
| CPI Adjustment Revenues | To the respective programs | To the respective programs | RMRA |
| Vehicle Fee Increases | RMRA | RMRA | RMRA |
| Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (Cap & Trade) | | \$200m to rail and transit \$400m to TCIF | \$400m to rail and transit \$100m to complete streets |
| General Fund Loan Repayments | RMRA | Cities and Counties | Various accounts |
| Total Annual Expenditures on: | | | |
| Road Rehab and Maintenance | \$5.5 billion | \$5.8 billion | \$2.9 billion |
| Freight Mobility | \$500 million | \$1.2 billion | \$200 million |
| Rail and Transit or Complete Streets | - | \$200 million | \$500 million |
| Expenditure Split Between State/Local Needs | 52% state/48% percent local | 55% state/45% percent local | 50% state/50% percent local ⁹ |
| Accountability and Reforms | | | |
| Reporting | Both Caltrans and local governments would report to the CA Transportation Commission on the efficacy of expenditures from the RMRA | - | Both Caltrans and the locals report to the Commission on the efficacy of expenditures from the RMRA |
| Local Maintenance of Effort Requirements | Included | Included | Included |
| Commission Allocation of SHOPP Support Costs | Requires by Feb 2017 | Requires by Feb 2017 | - |
| COS State Staff vs. Contract Staff | = | - | 80%/20% by Jul 2020 |
| CM/GC Project Delivery | * | - | Expands authority for Caltrans from 6 to 12 projects |
| Public Private Partnerships Project Delivery | | - | Extends sunset from 2017 to 2027 |
| CEQA Exemption | - | - | Exempts projects in existing rights of way in certain circumstances |
| NEPA Delegation | - | - | Eliminates the sunset |
| Regional Advance Mitigation Program | - | - | Included |

⁹ Transit counted toward local agency share.

Estimates of New Annual County Road Maintenance Funding

Plans with Legislative Language as of March 2016

| County | SBX1 1 (Beall) As of Sept. 1, 2015 | | AB 1591 (Frazier) As of Jan. 6, 2016 | | Governor's Plan (As of Sept. 6 2015) | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Alameda | \$ | 31,144,700 | \$ | 35,255,085 | \$ | 16,409,049 |
| Alpine | \$ | 606,976 | \$ | 687,083 | \$ | 319,794 |
| Amador | \$ | 2,766,893 | \$ | 3,132,060 | \$ | 1,457,779 |
| Butte | \$ | 9,930,390 | \$ | 11,240,974 | \$ | 5,231,974 |
| Calaveras | \$ | 4,223,471 | \$ | 4,780,872 | \$ | 2,225,198 |
| Colusa | \$ | 3,322,160 | \$ | 3,760,609 | \$ | 1,750,330 |
| Contra Costa | \$ | 23,987,628 | \$ | 27,153,445 | \$ | 12,638,239 |
| Del Norte | \$ | 1,727,533 | \$ | 1,955,528 | \$ | 910,177 |
| El Dorado | \$ | 8,891,490 | \$ | 10,064,963 | \$ | 4,684,614 |
| Fresno | \$ | 30,136,370 | \$ | 34,113,679 | \$ | 15,877,795 |
| Glenn | \$ | 4,038,469 | \$ | 4,571,454 | \$ | 2,127,728 |
| Humboldt | \$ | 7,879,119 | \$ | 8,918,982 | \$ | 4,151,231 |
| Imperial | \$ | 13,599,326 | \$ | 15,394,124 | \$ | 7,165,007 |
| Inyo | \$ | 4,867,889 | \$ | 5,510,338 | \$ | 2,564,720 |
| Kern | \$ | 28,572,161 | \$ | 32,343,030 | \$ | 15,053,668 |
| Kings | \$ | 5,973,933 | \$ | 6,762,355 | \$ | 3,147,456 |
| Lake | \$ | 4,224,536 | \$ | 4,782,078 | \$ | 2,225,760 |
| Lassen | \$ | 4,122,335 | \$ | 4,666,389 | \$ | 2,171,914 |
| Los Angeles | \$ | 181,627,994 | \$ | 205,598,720 | \$ | 95,693,413 |
| Madera | \$ | 8,659,856 | \$ | 9,802,759 | \$ | 4,562,574 |
| Marin | \$ | 6,898,695 | \$ | 7,809,164 | \$ | 3,634,680 |
| Mariposa | \$ | 2,725,452 | \$ | 3,085,149 | \$ | 1,435,945 |
| Mendocino | \$ | 6,321,066 | \$ | 7,155,302 | \$ | 3,330,348 |
| Merced | \$ | 11,386,363 | \$ | 12,889,102 | \$ | 5,999,075 |
| Modoc | \$ | 3,993,241 | \$ | 4,520,257 | \$ | 2,103,898 |
| Mono | \$ | 2,948,306 | \$ | 3,337,415 | \$ | 1,553,359 |
| Monterey | \$ | 12,851,174 | \$ | 14,547,234 | \$ | 6,770,832 |
| Napa | \$ | 4,839,326 | \$ | 5,478,006 | \$ | 2,549,671 |
| Nevada | \$ | 4,945,097 | \$ | 5,597,736 | \$ | 2,605,398 |
| Orange | \$ | 62,005,139 | \$ | 70,188,394 | \$ | 32,668,331 |
| Placer | \$ | 12,809,538 | \$ | 14,500,103 | \$ | 6,748,896 |
| Plumas | \$ | 3,272,284 | \$ | 3,704,151 | \$ | 1,724,052 |
| Riverside | \$ | 49,301,308 | \$ | 55,807,949 | \$ | 25,975,128 |
| Sacramento | \$ | 36,976,427 | \$ | 41,856,466 | \$ | 19,481,581 |
| San Benito | \$ | 2,777,567 | \$ | 3,144,142 | \$ | 1,463,402 |
| San Bernardino | \$ | 47,975,460 | \$ | 54,307,119 | \$ | 25,276,586 |
| San Diego | \$ | 69,451,699 | \$ | 78,617,729 | \$ | 36,591,662 |
| San Francisco* | \$ | 14,317,343 | \$ | 16,206,903 | \$ | 7,543,305 |
| SF (City Portion) | \$ | 25,045,605 | \$ | 28,351,050 | \$ | 13,195,650 |
| San Joaquin | \$ | 19,870,296 | \$ | 22,492,719 | \$ | 10,468,961 |

Estimates of New Annual County Road Maintenance Funding

Plans with Legislative Language as of March 2016

| County | SBX1 1 (Beall) As of Sept. 1, 2015 | | AB 1591 (Frazier) As of Jan. 6, 2016 | | Governor's Plan As of Sept. 6 2015 | |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| San Mateo | \$ | 16,971,846 | \$ | 19,211,740 | \$ | 8,941,870 |
| Santa Barbara | \$ | 11,836,384 | \$ | 13,398,516 | \$ | 6,236,175 |
| Santa Clara | \$ | 37,884,710 | \$ | 42,884,622 | \$ | 19,960,124 |
| Santa Cruz | \$ | 7,815,516 | \$ | 8,846,984 | \$ | 4,117,721 |
| Shasta | \$ | 9,175,861 | \$ | 10,386,865 | \$ | 4,834,439 |
| Sierra | \$ | 1,591,289 | \$ | 1,801,302 | \$ | 838,394 |
| Siskiyou | \$ | 6,551,475 | \$ | 7,416,120 | \$ | 3,451,742 |
| Solano | \$ | 10,868,825 | \$ | 12,303,261 | \$ | 5,726,402 |
| Sonoma | \$ | 16,452,146 | \$ | 18,623,452 | \$ | 8,668,058 |
| Stanislaus | \$ | 16,044,384 | \$ | 18,161,874 | \$ | 8,453,223 |
| Sutter | \$ | 4,975,297 | \$ | 5,631,923 | \$ | 2,621,310 |
| Tehama | \$ | 5,715,085 | \$ | 6,469,345 | \$ | 3,011,078 |
| Trinity | \$ | 3,053,220 | \$ | 3,456,175 | \$ | 1,608,634 |
| Tulare | \$ | 19,600,710 | \$ | 22,187,554 | \$ | 10,326,926 |
| Tuolumne | \$ | 3,974,375 | \$ | 4,498,901 | \$ | 2,093,959 |
| Ventura | \$ | 19,079,197 | \$ | 21,597,213 | \$ | 10,052,159 |
| Yolo | \$ | 6,967,994 | \$ | 7,887,609 | \$ | 3,671,191 |
| Yuba | \$ | 3,972,675 | \$ | 4,496,977 | \$ | 2,093,063 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 983,545,605 | \$ | 1,113,351,050 | \$ | 518,195,650 |

^{*}county share only