



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Alma House

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1	= 6
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	5	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	2	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	1	= 8
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	0.5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	1	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	0	= 1.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	2	= 2
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	1	= 1
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	1	= 1
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	2	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 2
	Total		21.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Mz Shirliz Transitional

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	0	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 4
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	15	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	10	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	3	= 28
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1.5	= 9.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	8	= 8
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	7	= 7
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	2	= 2
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	5	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	1.5	= 6.5
		Total	65



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

New Hope

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>			
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>			
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2.5		
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3		
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1	= 6.5	
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>			
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	7		
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	3		
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	3.5	= 13.5	
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>			
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	2		
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	2		
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1	= 5	
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u> Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)			
		3	= 3	
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u> Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)			
		0	= 0	
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>			
		0	= 0	
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u> Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.) Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)			
		1.5		
		0	= 1.5	
			Total	29.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Reach Fellowship Intl

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>			
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>			
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2.5		
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3		
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 7.5	
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>			
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	12		
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	9		
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 25	
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>			
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	5		
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	2		
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1	= 8	
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>			
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	6.5	= 6.5	
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>			
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	5	= 5	
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	2	= 2	
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>			
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	2.5		
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 2.5	
			Total	56.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

SHELTER, Inc.

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 8
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	11	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4.5	= 28.5
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	6	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 12
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	11	= 11
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9	= 9
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	7	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	2	= 9
		Total	82.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score **Therapeutic Residential Services**

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1	= 6
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	10	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	0	Excee
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	0	= 10
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	2.5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	0	= 5.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	2	= 2
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	2.5	= 2.5
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	1	= 1
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	1.5	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	1	= 2.5
	Total		29.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score **Center for Human Development**

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	2.5	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 7.5
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	15	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	11	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 30
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	4.5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1	= 8.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	8	= 8
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	6.5	= 6.5
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	3	= 9
Total			74.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

<u>Program Elements and Possible Score</u>	<u>COPE</u>	
I. <u>Proposal Cover Statement - <i>required but not weighted</i></u>		
II.1. <u>Agency Overview</u>		
1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2	
2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	2	
3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 6
II.2. <u>Program Proposal</u>		
1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	12	
2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	12	
3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	2.5	= 26.5
II.3. <u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	5	
2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3	
3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1	= 9
II.4 <u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	7	= 7
II.5 <u>Cultural Competency</u>		
Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	8	= 8
III.1 <u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	4	= 4
III.2 <u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	3	
Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	2	= 5
	Total	65.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

<u>Program Elements and Possible Score</u>	<u>Men & Women of Purpose</u>	
I. <u>Proposal Cover Statement - <i>required but not weighted</i></u>		
II.1. <u>Agency Overview</u>		
1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	2	
2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1.5	= 6.5
II.2. <u>Program Proposal</u>		
1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	12	
2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	7	
3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 23
II.3. <u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	4	
2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	2.5	
3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 8.5
II.4 <u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	11	= 11
II.5 <u>Cultural Competency</u>		
Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	7	= 7
III.1 <u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	2	= 2
III.2 <u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	4	
Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 4
	Total	62



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

America Works

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	1	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1.5	= 5.5
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	15	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	5	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	3	= 23
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	3	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	0.5	= 6.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	9	= 9
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	2	= 2
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	1.5	= 7.5
		Total	58.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Goodwill - West County

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 8
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	18	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	5	= 36
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	6.5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 12.5
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	12	= 12
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9.5	= 9.5
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	4	= 4
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 6
		Total	88



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Goodwill - East County

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 8
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	18	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	5	= 36
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	7	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 13
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	12	= 12
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9.5	= 9.5
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	4	= 4
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 6
	Total		88.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

<u>Program Elements and Possible Score</u>	<u>Goodwill - Central County</u>	
I. <u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1. <u>Agency Overview</u>		
1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 8
II.2. <u>Program Proposal</u>		
1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	18	
2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13	
3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	5	= 36
II.3. <u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	7	
2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 13
II.4. <u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	12	= 12
II.5. <u>Cultural Competency</u>		
Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9.5	= 9.5
III.1. <u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	4	= 4
III.2. <u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 6
	Total	88.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

KRA Corporation

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>			
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>			
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3		
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	1		
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 6	
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>			
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	14		
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13		
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	5	= 32	
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>			
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	6.5		
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3		
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1.5	= 11	
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>			
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	10	= 10	
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>			
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	8	= 8	
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5	
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>			
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	3		
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 3	
			Total	75



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Lao Family Comm Dev

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1	= 7
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	12	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	8.5	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 24.5
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	4.5	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	2.5	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 9
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	7	= 7
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9	= 9
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	3.5	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 3.5
	Total		65



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Leaders in Comm Alt

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>			
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>			
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3		
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	1		
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 6	
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>			
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	16.5		
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	13		
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4.5	= 34	
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>			
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	6.5		
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	3.5		
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1.5	= 11.5	
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>			
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	10.5	= 10.5	
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>			
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	10	= 10	
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5	
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>			
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6		
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	1.5	= 7.5	
			Total	84.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

<u>Program Elements and Possible Score</u>	<u>Renaissance Entr Center</u>	
I. <u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1. <u>Agency Overview</u>		
1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	1.5	= 7.5
II.2. <u>Program Proposal</u>		
1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	12	
2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	10	
3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 26
II.3. <u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	5.5	
2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	1.5	= 11
II.4. <u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	9.5	= 9.5
II.5. <u>Cultural Competency</u>		
Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	8.5	= 8.5
III.1. <u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2. <u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	0	= 6
	Total	73.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Rubicon Programs

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II.1.	<u>Agency Overview</u>		
	1. Organization’s overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Administrative and program offices are locally based (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Demonstrated history of collaboration to deliver services (2 pts.)	2	= 8
II.2.	<u>Program Proposal</u>		
	1. Program design/methodology and use of EBP and RNR (20 pts.)	16	
	2. Program evaluation/outcomes (15 pts.)	12	
	3. Collaboration with other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	4	= 32
II.3.	<u>Program Implementation and Oversight</u>		
	1. Action-steps and timeline for implementation, including primary roles and responsibilities, and ensuring fidelity to an evidence-based model (8 pts.)	7	
	2. Program staffing (FTEs, responsibilities, experience) and management (5 pts.)	4	
	3. Knowledge & use of local resources, inclusion of local residents in program planning, implementation and evaluation (2 pts.)	2	= 13
II.4	<u>Bidder’s Experience</u>		
	Bidder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver services to the targeted communities as specified. (12 pts.)	12	= 12
II.5	<u>Cultural Competency</u>		
	Cultural sensitivity of program and relevance of services to diverse client populations, including gender specific services and delivery of services in the clients’ primary language. (10 pts.)	9.5	= 9.5
III.1	<u>Fiscal Management Information (5 pts.)</u>	5	= 5
III.2	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Budget complete, reasonable, cost-effective, and necessary. (7 pts.)	6	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	3	= 9
		Total	88.5



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100 95:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Bay Area Legal Aid

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II	<u>Responder Overview</u>		
	1. Relevancy of responder's overall services/history (3 pts.)	3	
	2. Responder's qualifications as they relate to scope of work (3 pts.)	3	
	3. Overall agency and specified staff with relevant experience and expertise (4 pts.)	3	= 9
III.	<u>Approach to the Scope</u>		
	1. Service design/methodology (10 pts.)	8.5	
	2. Cultural Competency/past experience with reentry population (10 pts.)	10	
	3. Program action steps and timeline for implementation (5 pts.)		
	4. Collaboration with stakeholders and other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	5	= 23.5
IV.	<u>Technical Expertise</u>		
	Depth and relevance of subject-matter expertise (30 pts.)	28	= 28
V.	<u>Responder's Experience with Similar Projects</u>		
	Responder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver specified services. (20 pts.)	18.5	= 18.5
VI.	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Cost structure clear, reasonable, cost-effective. (7 pts.)	7	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	3	= 10

Total 89



RATING SHEET

Program elements will be weighted as follows with a maximum score of 100 95:

Program Elements and Possible Score

Rubicon Programs

I.	<u>Proposal Cover Statement - required but not weighted</u>		
II	<u>Responder Overview</u>		
	1. Relevancy of responder's overall services/history (3 pts.)	2.5	
	2. Responder's qualifications as they relate to scope of work (3 pts.)	2.5	
	3. Overall agency and specified staff with relevant experience and expertise (4 pts.)	3	= 8
III.	<u>Approach to the Scope</u>		
	1. Service design/methodology (10 pts.)	8	
	2. Cultural Competency/past experience with reentry population (10 pts.)	7	
	3. Program action steps and timeline for implementation (5 pts.)		
	4. Collaboration with stakeholders and other organizations/Coordination (5 pts.)	3.5	= 18.5
IV.	<u>Technical Expertise</u>		
	Depth and relevance of subject-matter expertise (30 pts.)	24	= 24
V.	<u>Responder's Experience with Similar Projects</u>		
	Responder's current or past experience and demonstrated ability of applicant to deliver specified services. (20 pts.)	17	= 17
VI.	<u>Program Budget/Narrative</u>		
	Cost structure clear, reasonable, cost-effective. (7 pts.)	5.5	
	Matching resources (funds, volunteer hours) (3 pts.)	2	= 7.5

Total 75