

## Attachment 13, Comparison of Requirements for ULL Changes (less than 30-acre, without voter approval)

<i>Jurisdiction</i>	<i>Measure L Findings Required<sup>1</sup></i>	<i>Includes Authority Clarifications<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>Supermajority Required</i>	<i>Notes</i>
County	✗	✗	✗	Staff acknowledged Authority clarifications but the Board of Supervisors may not have ratified them
<b>Jurisdictions including all Measure L findings</b>				
Lafayette	✗			Explicitly lists Measure L conditions for ULL changes
<b>Jurisdictions with some Measure L findings and “Authority Clarifications” on “Non-Consecutive”</b>				
Brentwood	Partial	✗ <sup>3</sup>		Explicitly allows adjustments to reflect topography or legal boundaries, one of the Measure L conditions
Oakley	Partial	✗ <sup>3</sup>		Explicitly allows adjustments to reflect topography or legal boundaries, one of the Measure L conditions
<b>Jurisdictions incorporating most Measure L conditions for ULL adjustments</b>				
Concord Orinda Richmond	Partial			Resolution identifies five conditions similar to those in Measure L under which the ULL could be modified
<b>Jurisdictions allowing ULL adjustments only for takings or conformance with law</b>				
Clayton Moraga Pinole San Pablo				Changes to ULL limited to address issues of unconstitutional takings, or to conform to state and federal law
<b>Jurisdictions with no identified conditions or procedures for adjusting ULL</b>				
Danville				It is unclear whether resolution allows ULL modifications; it identifies no conditions under which, or procedures through which, the ULL could be modified

<sup>1</sup> See Attachment 14 list of findings from county Measure L.

<sup>2</sup> See Attachment 16, including letter from Bob McCleary to Dennis Barry dated September 20, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> See Attachment 17, Oakley ULL resolution, including Exhibit 1 stating their interpretation of Authority clarifications

<i>Jurisdiction</i>	<i>Measure L Findings Required<sup>1</sup></i>	<i>Includes Authority Clarifications<sup>2</sup></i>	<i>Supermajority Required</i>	<i>Notes</i>
<b>Jurisdictions that may adopt future conditions for allowing ULL adjustments</b>				
El Cerrito Hercules Martinez Pleasant Hill Walnut Creek				Resolution identifies "other conditions...may be subsequently adopted" under which the ULL could be modified
<b>Jurisdictions with Local, Voter-Approved ULL</b>				
Antioch	n/a	n/a	n/a	No changes allowed through December 31, 2020 except with voter approval; after December 31, 2020, it "may be amended or repealed by any procedure authorized by state and local law."
Pittsburg	n/a	n/a	n/a	No changes allowed except with voter approval
San Ramon	Separate conditions		✘	Measure G (1999) allows up to 25 acre additions to the San Ramon UGB under specified conditions and with a supermajority vote of Council