																				7/1/2015
	SUMMARY - GRANT APPLICATION OPPORTUNITIES 2011-2015																			
PROGRAM	ATP	CDBG	CMAQ	НВР	HSIP	LSRS	OBAG	Reg SR2S	PROP 1B	SRTS	SR2S	SR2T	STIP-TE	TDA	TIGER	TLC				
	À .							À		À	À	(A)		*		À .	-			
	183	The state of the s	Education region of the growth mass of them				The state of the s													
	and the second of the second o		Calciderating and the grant was offen		.1.															
																	TOTAL FROM EACH	% of total grant opportunitie	Road Miles by	Road Miles by
DISTRICT																	DISTRICT	s	District	District
1	3	4	1	1	1	3	2		4	0		1	2	4		1	27	21%	118	18%
2	0				1	3	1		1	0			0	2		1	9	7%	98	15%
3	0			6	4	3	1		16	0			3	3	4		40	31%	211	33%
4	0		1	1	1	3	1	1	2	0	1		2	2	1		16	12%	40	6%
5	6	1		1	1	2	3	1	8	2	1	2	2	6	1	1	38	29%	181	28%
TOTAL SUBMITTED TO EACH PROGRAM	9	5	2	9	8	14	8	2	31	2	2	3	9	17	6	3	130	100%	647	100%

ATP Active Transportation Program -

CDBG Community Development Block Grant -

CMAQ Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement -

HBP **Highway Bridge Program**

HSIP Highway Safety Improvement Program

LSR Local Streets and Roads
OBAG One Bay Area Grant

Regional SR2S Regional Safe Route 2 School

Prop 1B Proposition 1B

SRTS Safe Route to School

SR2S Safe Route 2 School

SR2T Safe Route to Transit

STIP State Transportation Improvement Program

TDA Transportatin Development Act

TIGER Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery

TLC Transportation for Liveable Communities

Applications are rated on being bike and pedestrian friendly, potential to reduce GHG, location within a disadvantaged community, and high collision rate

Applications are rated on benefits provided to a disadvantaged community.

Rated on anticipated reduction in vehicle miles traveled

Rated on federal highway bridges in need of structural repair

Rated on locations with high collision rates and the ability for proposed counter measures to economically address issue

Rated on need of road maintenance and repair

Rated on location within a PDA and community of concern, project readiness, community involvement, connectivity

Rated on bike & ped safety improvements near schools and preference to disadvantaged communities

Discretionary funds utilized this past decade as local match for grant opportunities or surface treatment

(Federal) - Rated on bike & ped safety improvements near schools and preference to disadvantaged communities

(State) - Rated on bike & ped safety improvements near schools and preference to disadvantaged communities

Rated on bike & ped safety improvements near transit stations and preference to disadvantaged communities

Rated on congestion reduction and safety improvements. Project must have a PSR equivalent completed

Rated on bike & pedestrian benefits

Economic development, safe & affordable transportation, improved connection to employment, and community revitalization

Rated upon potential to encourage bicycle, pedestrian and transit options & revitalize communities



Grant program for bike and pedestrian infrastructure



Grant program benefits Disadvantaged Communities

Grant program encourages reduction in Emissions



Grant rating based upon collision data