



PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

August 10, 2015

1:00 P.M.

651 Pine Street, Room 101, Martinez

Supervisor John Gioia, Chair

Supervisor Federal D. Glover, Vice Chair

Agenda Items:

Items may be taken out of order based on the business of the day and preference of the Committee

1. Introductions
2. Public comment on any item under the jurisdiction of the Committee and not on this agenda (speakers may be limited to three minutes).
3. APPROVE Record of Action from the July 6, 2015 meeting. **(Page 3)**
4. CONSIDER accepting report on fiscal year 2015/16 allocation of Community Recidivism Reduction Grant funds by the Board of State and Community Corrections to the County in the amount of \$125,000 for allocation local community based organizations and DIRECT staff on how to allocate the \$125,000 grant award for purposes of issuing request for proposals. *(Timothy Ewell, Committee Staff)* **(Page 7)**
5. The next meeting is currently scheduled for September 14, 2015 at 1:00 PM.
6. Adjourn

The Public Protection Committee will provide reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities planning to attend Public Protection Committee meetings. Contact the staff person listed below at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Any disclosable public records related to an open session item on a regular meeting agenda and distributed by the County to a majority of members of the Public Protection Committee less than 96 hours prior to that meeting are available for public inspection at 651 Pine Street, 10th floor, during normal business hours.

Public comment may be submitted via electronic mail on agenda items at least one full work day prior to the published meeting time.

For Additional Information Contact:

Timothy Ewell, Committee Staff
Phone (925) 335-1036, Fax (925) 646-1353
timothy.ewell@cao.cccounty.us

Acronyms, Abbreviations, and other Terms (in alphabetical order):

Contra Costa County has a policy of making limited use of acronyms, abbreviations, and industry-specific language in its Board of Supervisors meetings and written materials. Following is a list of commonly used language that may appear in oral presentations and written materials associated with Board meetings:

AB	Assembly Bill	HCD	(State Dept of) Housing & Community Development
ABAG	Association of Bay Area Governments	HHS	Department of Health and Human Services
ACA	Assembly Constitutional Amendment	HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Syndrome
AFSCME	American Federation of State County and Municipal Employees	HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle
AICP	American Institute of Certified Planners	HR	Human Resources
AIDS	Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome	HUD	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
ALUC	Airport Land Use Commission	Inc.	Incorporated
AOD	Alcohol and Other Drugs	IOC	Internal Operations Committee
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District	ISO	Industrial Safety Ordinance
BART	Bay Area Rapid Transit District	JPA	Joint (exercise of) Powers Authority or Agreement
BCDC	Bay Conservation & Development Commission	Lamorinda	Lafayette-Moraga-Orinda Area
BGO	Better Government Ordinance	LAFCo	Local Agency Formation Commission
BOS	Board of Supervisors	LLC	Limited Liability Company
CALTRANS	California Department of Transportation	LLP	Limited Liability Partnership
CalWIN	California Works Information Network	Local 1	Public Employees Union Local 1
CalWORKS	California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids	LVN	Licensed Vocational Nurse
CAER	Community Awareness Emergency Response	MAC	Municipal Advisory Council
CAO	County Administrative Officer or Office	MBE	Minority Business Enterprise
CCHP	Contra Costa Health Plan	M.D.	Medical Doctor
CCTA	Contra Costa Transportation Authority	M.F.T.	Marriage and Family Therapist
CDBG	Community Development Block Grant	MIS	Management Information System
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act	MOE	Maintenance of Effort
CIO	Chief Information Officer	MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
COLA	Cost of living adjustment	MTC	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
ConFire	Contra Costa Consolidated Fire District	NACo	National Association of Counties
CPA	Certified Public Accountant	OB-GYN	Obstetrics and Gynecology
CPI	Consumer Price Index	O.D.	Doctor of Optometry
CSA	County Service Area	OES-EOC	Office of Emergency Services-Emergency Operations Center
CSAC	California State Association of Counties	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
CTC	California Transportation Commission	Psy.D.	Doctor of Psychology
dba	doing business as	RDA	Redevelopment Agency
EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District	RFI	Request For Information
EIR	Environmental Impact Report	RFP	Request For Proposal
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement	RFQ	Request For Qualifications
EMCC	Emergency Medical Care Committee	RN	Registered Nurse
EMS	Emergency Medical Services	SB	Senate Bill
EPSDT	State Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and treatment Program (Mental Health)	SBE	Small Business Enterprise
et al.	et ali (and others)	SWAT	Southwest Area Transportation Committee
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration	TRANSPAC	Transportation Partnership & Cooperation (Central)
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency	TRANSPLAN	Transportation Planning Committee (East County)
F&HS	Family and Human Services Committee	TRE or TTE	Trustee
First 5	First Five Children and Families Commission (Proposition 10)	TWIC	Transportation, Water and Infrastructure Committee
FTE	Full Time Equivalent	VA	Department of Veterans Affairs
FY	Fiscal Year	vs.	versus (against)
GHAD	Geologic Hazard Abatement District	WAN	Wide Area Network
GIS	Geographic Information System	WBE	Women Business Enterprise
		WCCTAC	West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee



Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors

Subcommittee Report

PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

3.

Meeting Date: 08/10/2015

Subject: RECORD OF ACTION - July 6, 2015

Submitted For: PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE,

Department: County Administrator

Referral No.: N/A

Referral Name: RECORD OF ACTION - July 6, 2015

Presenter: Timothy Ewell, Committee Staff **Contact:** Timothy Ewell, (925) 335-1036

Referral History:

County Ordinance requires that each County body keep a record of its meetings. Though the record need not be verbatim, it must accurately reflect the agenda and the decisions made in the meeting.

Referral Update:

Attached for the Committee's consideration is the Record of Action for its July 6, 2015 meeting.

Recommendation(s)/Next Step(s):

APPROVE Record of Action from the July 6, 2015 meeting.

Fiscal Impact (if any):

No fiscal impact. This item is informational only.

Attachments

Record of Action - July 2015



Agenda

PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

July 6, 2015

1:00 P.M.

651 Pine Street, Room 101, Martinez

Supervisor John Gioia, Chair
Supervisor Federal D. Glover, Vice Chair

Agenda Items:

Items may be taken out of order based on the business of the day and preference of the Committee

Present: John Gioia, Chair

Absent: Federal D. Glover, Vice Chair

Staff Present: David J. Twa, County Administrator

Timothy M. Ewell, Committee Staff

Mark Peterson, District Attorney-Public Administrator

Sonia Bustamante, District I Chief of Staff

Robert Rogers, District I Staff

Jill Ray, District II Staff

Lindy Lavender, District IV Staff

Ed Diokno, District V Staff

Robin Lipetzky, Public Defender

Tom Kensok, Assistant District Attorney

Todd Billeci, Assistant Probation Officer

Donte Blue, County Reentry Coordinator

Vana Tran, Senior Management Analyst

1. Introductions

Convene - 1:11 PM

2. Public comment on any item under the jurisdiction of the Committee and not on this agenda (speakers may be limited to three minutes).

The Committee received public comment.

3. APPROVE Record of Action from the May 11, 2015 meeting.

Approved as presented.

Chair John Gioia,

AYE: Chair John Gioia

Other: Vice Chair Federal D. Glover (ABSENT)

Passed

1. DISCUSS letter from the Contra Costa County Racial Justice Coalition; and
2. PROVIDE direction to staff on next steps.

Approved as presented with the following direction to staff...

Return to the Committee at a future meeting date with the following information:

- 1. More information from Courts regarding how jury pools are selected***
- 2. Information regarding Workplace Diversity Training in Contra Costa County***
- 3. What data does the California Department of Justice maintain regarding demographics***
- 4. What data is maintained by the District Attorney and Public Defender departments***

Chair John Gioia,

AYE: Chair John Gioia

Other: Vice Chair Federal D. Glover (ABSENT)

Passed

5. The next meeting is currently scheduled for August 10, 2015.
6. Adjourn

Adjourn - 2:25 PM

The Public Protection Committee will provide reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities planning to attend Public Protection Committee meetings. Contact the staff person listed below at least 72 hours before the meeting.

Any disclosable public records related to an open session item on a regular meeting agenda and distributed by the County to a majority of members of the Public Protection Committee less than 96 hours prior to that meeting are available for public inspection at 651 Pine Street, 10th floor, during normal business hours.

Public comment may be submitted via electronic mail on agenda items at least one full work day prior to the published meeting time.

For Additional Information Contact:

Timothy Ewell, Committee Staff
Phone (925) 335-1036, Fax (925) 646-1353
timothy.ewell@cao.cccounty.us



Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors

Subcommittee Report

PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE

4.

Meeting Date: 08/10/2015

Subject: Results of RFP Process for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grants

Submitted For: David Twa, County Administrator

Department: County Administrator

Referral No.: N/A

Referral Name: Results of RFP Process for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grants

Presenter: Timothy Ewell, Senior Deputy County
Administrator

Contact: Timothy Ewell,
925-335-1036

Referral History:

In August 2014, the CAO's office was notified by the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) that the Budget Act of 2014 had allocated \$8 million to the BSCC for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grant, as described in Penal Code section 1233.10. Counties were eligible to receive funds if the Board of Supervisors, in collaboration with the CCP, agrees to develop a competitive grant program intended to fund community recidivism and crime reduction services. On September 16, 2014, the Board of Supervisors passed item C.51, confirming to the BSCC the County's interest in receiving the funding, of which \$250,000 was allocated to Contra Costa County.

Following the September 2014 action, the Public Protection Committee discussed how the \$250,000 grant should be allocated for purposes of issuing a request for proposals to community based organizations. The Committee decided that \$150,000 would be allocated to adult programs and \$100,000 would be allocated to juvenile programs. In April 2015, the County Administrator's Office conducted an RFP and review panel process to make grant award.

Referral Update:

Today's action is notifying the Committee of a new allocation from the State of \$125,000 for fiscal year 2015/16. The State only requires a letter of interest from the County to authorize the allocation.

Staff is requesting direction from the Committee on how to allocate the new \$125,000 in funding for purposes of releasing an RFP. Attached are materials from the BSCC regarding the new allocation.

Recommendation(s)/Next Step(s):

ACCEPT report on fiscal year 2015/16 allocation of Community Recidivism Reduction Grant funds by the Bureau of State and Community Corrections to the County in the amount of \$125,000 for allocation local community based organizations; and,

DIRECT staff on how to allocate the \$125,000 grant award for purposes of issuing request for proposals.

Attachments

Letter from BSCC

Attachment I

Attachment II

Frequently Asked Questions



LINDA M. PENNER
Chair

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200 • SACRAMENTO CA 95833 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV



EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

July 21, 2015

Mr. Matt Cate, Executive Director
California State Association of Counties
1100 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

DeAnn Baker, Director of Legislative Affairs
California State Association of Counties
1100 K Street, Suite 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Cate and Ms. Baker:

The Budget Act of 2015 (FY 15-16) allocates \$4 million to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grants described in Penal Code section 1233.10 (Attachment I). This money is an addition to the \$8 million provided in the Budget Act of 2014 (FY 14-15). Any county interested in receiving a portion of this additional \$4 million must notify the BSCC by September 30, 2015 by means of a letter of interest. This opportunity is available to any county, including counties who chose not to participate in the FY 14-15 grant program.

Counties that notified the BSCC of their interest in participating in the grant program in FY 14-15 were required to submit Board of Supervisor meeting minutes authorizing the county to receive the grant funds. For funding in FY 15-16, counties whose prior Board approval for accepting funding was not limited to the FY 14-15 funds and otherwise does not restrict receipt of additional funds are not required to submit new meeting minutes of approval. If the prior Board approval was limited in this manner, new meeting minutes that show a vote of approval for receipt of additional funds in FY 15-16 is required.

The county must award its grant dollars through a competitive process to a nongovernmental entity or a consortium or coalition of nongovernmental entities that provide community recidivism and crime reduction services to persons who have been released from state prison, a county jail, or a juvenile detention facility, who are under the supervision of a parole or probation department, or any other person at risk of becoming involved in criminal activities. To afford maximum flexibility, if your county has already completed this competitive process with the FY 14-15 funding, counties may allocate FY 15-16 funding to those service providers who competed for the FY 14-15 funding, or counties may choose to initiate a new competitive process. Please note that an allocation to any service provider is capped pursuant to paragraph (e) of Penal Code section 1233.10. Each county may use up to five percent of its allocation for administrative costs.

Counties that received FY 14-15 funds and counties that choose to participate in the FY 15-16 allocations are also required to collect and submit data to the BSCC on grants awarded. Service providers that receive a grant are responsible for reporting to the county Board of Supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnerships on the number of individuals served and the types of services provided. The Board of Supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnerships must then

report this information to the BSCC on or before January 31, 2016 and each year thereafter until the final reporting date of January 31, 2021, as applicable (please note that this due date is a change from the January 1, 2016 due date you were advised of in a prior email to provide you with additional time to compile the data). Specifically, on January 31, 2016, participating counties must provide the BSCC with data collected from December 5, 2014 – December 31, 2015 and annually thereafter for the prior calendar year. This data must be reported for each of the years that a service provider operates with these funds. If all funds are not encumbered by a reporting date, counties will report on any funds that have been encumbered and provide detail on the funds that have not been encumbered. The BSCC is developing a data reporting spreadsheet to streamline the data collection process and will be sending it, electronically, to the county's primary contact when it is finalized.

The FY 14-15 funding as well as the FY 15-16 can be spent over four years after allocation of funding to counties. Any funds not used by a county or a service provider within four years will revert back to the state General Fund.

If you have any questions, please refer to the Frequently Asked Questions attachment or feel free to contact me directly. You can also contact the BSCC's primary staffer working with this grant, Megan Barber-Brancamp, at megan.barber-brancamp@BSCC.ca.gov and (916) 445-9435. Additionally, if you have not already done so, please provide Megan Barber-Brancamp with the contact information for your county's primary staff person working on the Community Recidivism Reduction Grant program.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Kathleen T. Howard". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Kathleen" being more prominent than the last name "Howard".

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director
Board of State and Community Corrections

cc: Ms. Karen Pank, Executive Director, Chief Probation Officers of California
Mr. Nick Warner, Policy Director, California State Sheriffs' Association

Attachments



LINDA M. PENNER
Chair

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200 • SACRAMENTO CA 95833 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV



EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

Attachment I

2015-16 Funding

California Penal Code Section 1233.10(a)

SEC. 28. Section 1233.10 of the Penal Code is amended to read: 1233.10. (a) Upon agreement to accept funding from the Recidivism Reduction Fund, created in Section 1233.9, a county board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall develop, administer, and collect and submit data to the Board of State and Community Corrections regarding a competitive grant program intended to fund community recidivism and crime reduction services, including, but not limited to, delinquency prevention, homelessness prevention, and reentry services.

(1) Commencing with the 2014–15 fiscal year, the funding shall be allocated to counties by the State Controller's Office from Item 5227-101-3259 of Section 2.00 of the Budget Act of 2014 according to the following schedule:

Alameda \$ 250,000
Alpine \$ 10,000
Amador \$ 10,000
Butte \$ 50,000
Calaveras \$ 10,000
Colusa \$ 10,000
Contra Costa \$ 250,000
Del Norte \$ 10,000
El Dorado \$ 50,000
Fresno \$ 250,000
Glenn \$ 10,000
Humboldt \$ 50,000
Imperial \$ 50,000
Inyo \$ 10,000
Kern \$ 250,000
Kings \$ 50,000
Lake \$ 25,000
Lassen \$ 10,000
Los Angeles \$ 1,600,000
Madera \$ 50,000

Marin \$ 50,000
Mariposa \$ 10,000
Mendocino \$ 25,000
Merced \$ 50,000
Modoc \$ 10,000
Mono \$ 10,000
Monterey \$ 100,000
Napa \$ 50,000
Nevada \$ 25,000
Orange \$ 500,000
Placer \$ 50,000
Plumas \$ 10,000
Riverside \$ 500,000
Sacramento \$ 250,000
San Benito \$ 25,000
San Bernardino \$ 500,000
San Diego \$ 500,000
San Francisco \$ 250,000
San Joaquin \$ 250,000
San Luis Obispo \$ 50,000
San Mateo \$ 250,000
Santa Barbara \$ 100,000
Santa Clara \$ 500,000
Santa Cruz \$ 50,000
Shasta \$ 50,000
Sierra \$ 10,000
Siskiyou \$ 10,000
Solano \$ 100,000
Sonoma \$ 100,000
Stanislaus \$ 100,000
Sutter \$ 25,000
Tehama \$ 25,000
Trinity \$ 10,000
Tulare \$ 100,000
Tuolumne \$ 25,000
Ventura \$ 250,000
Yolo \$ 50,000
Yuba \$ 25,000

(2) Commencing with the 2015–16 fiscal year, the funding shall be allocated to counties by the State Controller’s Office from Item 5227-101-3259 of Section 2.00 of the Budget Act of 2015 according to the following schedule:

Alameda \$ 125,000
Alpine \$ 5,000
Amador \$ 5,000
Butte \$ 25,000
Calaveras \$ 5,000
Colusa \$ 5,000
Contra Costa \$ 125,000
Del Norte \$ 5,000
El Dorado \$ 25,000
Fresno \$ 125,000
Glenn \$ 5,000
Humboldt \$ 25,000
Imperial \$ 25,000
Inyo \$ 5,000
Kern \$ 125,000
Kings \$ 25,000
Lake \$ 12,500
Lassen \$ 5,000
Los Angeles \$ 800,000
Madera \$ 25,000
Marin \$ 25,000
Mariposa \$ 5,000
Mendocino \$ 12,500
Merced \$ 25,000
Modoc \$ 5,000
Mono \$ 5,000
Monterey \$ 50,000
Napa \$ 25,000
Nevada \$ 12,500
Orange \$ 250,000
Placer \$ 25,000
Plumas \$ 5,000
Riverside \$ 250,000
Sacramento \$ 125,000
San Benito \$ 12,500
San Bernardino \$ 250,000
San Diego \$ 250,000

San Francisco \$ 125,000
San Joaquin \$ 125,000
San Luis Obispo \$ 25,000
San Mateo \$ 125,000
Santa Barbara \$ 50,000
Santa Clara \$ 250,000
Santa Cruz \$ 25,000
Shasta \$ 25,000
Sierra \$ 5,000
Siskiyou \$ 5,000
Solano \$ 50,000
Sonoma \$ 50,000
Stanislaus \$ 50,000
Sutter \$ 12,500
Tehama \$ 12,500
Trinity \$ 5,000
Tulare \$ 50,000
Tuolumne \$ 12,500
Ventura \$ 125,000
Yolo \$ 25,000
Yuba \$ 12,500

(b) For purposes of this section, “community recidivism and crime reduction service provider” means a nongovernmental entity or a consortium or coalition of nongovernmental entities, that provides community recidivism and crime reduction services, as described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c), to persons who have been released from the state prison, a county jail, a juvenile detention facility, who are under the supervision of a parole or probation department, or any other person at risk of becoming involved in criminal activities.

(c) (1) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider shall have a demonstrated history of providing services, as described in paragraph (2), to the target population during the five years immediately prior to the application for a grant awarded pursuant to this section.

(2) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider shall provide services that are designed to enable persons to whom the services are provided to refrain from engaging in crime, reconnect with their family members, and contribute to their communities. Community recidivism and crime reduction services may include all of the following:

(A) Self-help groups.

(B) Individual or group assistance with basic life skills.

(C) Mentoring programs.

(D) Academic and educational services, including, but not limited to, services to enable the recipient to earn his or her high school diploma.

(E) Job training skills and employment.

(F) Truancy prevention programs.

(G) Literacy programs.

(H) Any other service that advances community recidivism and crime reduction efforts, as identified by the county board of supervisors and the Community Corrections Partnership.

(I) Individual or group assistance with referrals for any of the following:

(i) Mental and physical health assessments.

(ii) Counseling services.

(iii) Education and vocational programs.

(iv) Employment opportunities.

(v) Alcohol and drug treatment.

(vi) Health, wellness, fitness, and nutrition programs and services.

(vii) Personal finance and consumer skills programs and services.

(viii) Other personal growth and development programs to reduce recidivism.

(ix) Housing assistance.

(d) Pursuant to this section and upon agreement to accept funding from the Recidivism Reduction Fund, the board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall grant funds allocated to the county, as described in subdivision (a), to community recidivism and crime reduction service providers based on the needs of their community.

(e) (1) The amount awarded to each community recidivism and crime reduction service provider by a county shall be based on the population of the county, as projected by the Department of Finance, and shall not exceed the following:

(A) One hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) in a county with a population of over 4,000,000 people.

(B) Fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) in a county with a population of 700,000 or more people but less than 4,000,000 people.

(C) Twenty five thousand dollars (\$25,000) in a county with a population of 400,000 or more people but less than 700,000 people.

(D) Ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) in a county with a population of less than 400,000 people.

(2) The total amount of grants awarded to a single community recidivism and crime reduction service provider by all counties pursuant to this section shall not exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).

(f) The board of supervisors, in collaboration with the county's Community Corrections Partnership, shall establish minimum requirements, funding criteria, and procedures for the counties to award grants consistent with the criteria established in this section.

(g) A community recidivism and crime reduction service provider that receives a grant under this section shall report to the county board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership on the number of individuals served and the types of services provided, consistent with

paragraph (2) of subdivision (c). The board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership shall report to the Board of State and Community Corrections any information received under this subdivision from grant recipients.

(h) Of the total amount granted to a county, up to 5 percent may be withheld by the board of supervisors or the Community Corrections Partnership for the payment of administrative costs.

(i) Any funds allocated to a county under this section shall be available for expenditure for a period of four years and any unexpended funds shall revert to the state General Fund at the end of the four-year period.



LINDA M. PENNER
Chair

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200 • SACRAMENTO CA 95833 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV



EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

Attachment II

2015-16 County Allocations

County	Funding Allocation	Population*	Maximum Provider Allocation
Alameda	\$125,000.00	1,594,569	\$50,000.00
Alpine	\$5,000.00	1,121	\$10,000.00
Amador	\$5,000.00	36,312	\$10,000.00
Butte	\$25,000.00	224,323	\$10,000.00
Calaveras	\$5,000.00	45,668	\$10,000.00
Colusa	\$5,000.00	21,715	\$10,000.00
Contra Costa	\$125,000.00	1,102,871	\$50,000.00
Del Norte	\$5,000.00	28,031	\$10,000.00
El Dorado	\$25,000.00	184,917	\$10,000.00
Fresno	\$125,000.00	972,297	\$50,000.00
Glenn	\$5,000.00	28,728	\$10,000.00
Humboldt	\$25,000.00	134,398	\$10,000.00
Imperial	\$25,000.00	183,429	\$10,000.00
Inyo	\$5,000.00	18,574	\$10,000.00
Kern	\$125,000.00	874,264	\$50,000.00
Kings	\$25,000.00	149,721	\$10,000.00
Lake	\$12,500.00	64,918	\$10,000.00
Lassen	\$5,000.00	32,092	\$10,000.00
Los Angeles	\$800,000.00	10,136,559	\$100,000.00
Madera	\$25,000.00	155,878	\$10,000.00
Marin	\$25,000.00	258,972	\$10,000.00
Mariposa	\$5,000.00	17,791	\$10,000.00
Mendocino	\$12,500.00	88,863	\$10,000.00
Merced	\$25,000.00	266,134	\$10,000.00
Modoc	\$5,000.00	9,399	\$10,000.00
Mono	\$5,000.00	14,695	\$10,000.00
Monterey	\$50,000.00	425,413	\$25,000.00
Napa	\$25,000.00	140,362	\$10,000.00
Nevada	\$12,500.00	98,193	\$10,000.00
Orange	\$250,000.00	3,147,655	\$50,000.00
Placer	\$25,000.00	369,454	\$10,000.00
Plumas	\$5,000.00	19,560	\$10,000.00
Riverside	\$250,000.00	2,308,441	\$50,000.00
Sacramento	\$125,000.00	1,470,912	\$50,000.00
San Benito	\$12,500.00	58,344	\$10,000.00
San Bernardino	\$250,000.00	2,104,291	\$50,000.00

County	Funding Allocation	Population*	Max. Provider Allocation
San Diego	\$250,000.00	3,227,496	\$50,000.00
San Francisco	\$125,000.00	845,602	\$50,000.00
San Joaquin	\$125,000.00	719,511	\$50,000.00
San Luis Obispo	\$25,000.00	274,293	\$10,000.00
San Mateo	\$125,000.00	753,123	\$50,000.00
Santa Barbara	\$50,000.00	437,643	\$25,000.00
Santa Clara	\$250,000.00	1,889,638	\$50,000.00
Santa Cruz	\$25,000.00	271,646	\$10,000.00
Shasta	\$25,000.00	178,673	\$10,000.00
Sierra	\$5,000.00	3,105	\$10,000.00
Siskiyou	\$5,000.00	45,119	\$10,000.00
Solano	\$50,000.00	429,552	\$25,000.00
Sonoma	\$50,000.00	496,253	\$25,000.00
Stanislaus	\$50,000.00	532,297	\$25,000.00
Sutter	\$12,500.00	95,948	\$10,000.00
Tehama	\$12,500.00	64,323	\$10,000.00
Trinity	\$5,000.00	13,571	\$10,000.00
Tulare	\$50,000.00	462,189	\$25,000.00
Tuolumne	\$12,500.00	54,337	\$10,000.00
Ventura	\$125,000.00	848,073	\$50,000.00
Yolo	\$25,000.00	209,393	\$10,000.00
Yuba	\$12,500.00	74,076	\$10,000.00

*As estimated by the Department of Finance



LINDA M. PENNER
Chair

KATHLEEN T. HOWARD
Executive Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
BOARD OF STATE AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

2590 VENTURE OAKS WAY, SUITE 200 • SACRAMENTO CA 95833 • 916.445.5073 • BSCC.CA.GOV



EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.
Governor

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

2015-16 COMMUNITY RECIDIVISM REDUCTION GRANT

1. What is the statutory authority for the additional \$4M in funds?

The funding is provided in the Budget Act of 2015, Chapter 11, Statutes of 2015. Penal Code section 1233.10 provides the allocation schedule to counties.

2. Does my Board of Supervisors need to approve the additional FY 15-16 funding by vote?

If your county accepted funding in FY 14-15 and your Board approval did not limit funding to the FY 14-15 funds then your county is not required to re-submit a Board approval. However, if your Board's approval to accept these funds was restricted to the FY 14-15 funds then your county must submit new meeting minutes showing a vote of approval for the FY 15-16 funding. Counties should indicate within their Letter of Interest whether their Board needs to take a vote to approve FY 15-16 funding.

3. What are the expectations regarding the Letter of Interest?

Your county's Letter of Interest, which should be signed by the Board of Supervisors Clerk of the Board or the Chief Administrative Officer, must specify that it would like to accept the additional FY 15-16 funds and that your Board of Supervisors has taken action to accept this additional funding (please refer to question 2 for details). The letter must also indicate that the Community Corrections Partnership is in agreement.

4. If a Board of Supervisors' vote is required for my county to obtain FY 15-16 funding, do the meeting minutes need to be sent at the same time as the Letter of Interest?

No, the Board of Supervisors' meeting minutes can be sent to the BSCC as a follow-up document after September 30, 2015. However, counties should indicate whether a new vote was necessary in its Letter of Interest.

5. Does my county need to initiate a new competitive process for FY 15-16 funding if we completed one in FY 14-15?

If your county completed a competitive process with the FY 14-15 funds, you have two options on how to proceed with FY 15-16 funding: 1) your county can allocate the FY 15-16

funds to service providers that competed for the FY 14-15 funding (please note that an allocation to any service provider is capped pursuant to paragraph (e) of Penal Code section 1233.10); or 2) your county can choose to initiate a new competitive process for FY 15-16 funding.

6. By what date does all the funding need to be encumbered with a service provider?

With the recent amendments to Section 1233.10 (i) of the Penal Code, counties no longer have the restriction of an encumbrance date. You now have four years after receiving these grant funds from the State Controller's Office to award and spend the funds. Any funds not used within this four year period will revert back to the state General Fund. For example, in FY 14-15 counties received funding for the Community Recidivism Reduction Grant on December 5, 2014 which means that they now have until December 5, 2018 to award the grant dollars to service providers and to spend the money.

7. Can counties spend all the allocated funds in less than four years?

Yes, counties have up to four years to award and spend the funds. However, funds can be spent in fewer than four years.

8. What type of data will counties need to collect and when are the findings due to the BSCC?

The BSCC will be collecting data on the number of individuals served and the types of services provided by a service provider on or before January 31, 2016 and annually thereafter until January 31, 2021, as applicable. For each reporting cycle, your county must report on the previous year's activities for each service provider operating with these funds. For example, on January 31, 2016 your county will report on activities from December 5, 2014 – December 31, 2015. If your county has not encumbered all its funds with service providers by a reporting date, you may report on any funds that have been encumbered and provide detailed information on the funds that have not been encumbered.

9. Can counties use any of the grant money for administrative costs?

Yes, your county can use up to five percent of its total allocation for administrative costs.