Findings of the Study of Sheriff Retention-Recruitment



Prepared by the Sheriff Recruitment & Retention Working Group

David Livingston, Sheriff-Coroner
Shawn Welch, President, Deputy Sheriff's Association
Julie Enea, Senior Deputy County Administrator
Lisa Lopez, Assistant Director, Human Resources Department

November 10, 2015

Introduction

The Sheriff Recruitment & Retention Working Group was formed by the County Administrator at the request of the Board of Supervisors to identify issues with recruitment and retention of deputy sheriffs. The Working Group met four times beginning on June 19, at which time we identified areas for study, sources of needed data, and developed preliminary and final findings.

We measured the problem using Peoplesoft data from the last five years beginning May 1, 2010. We looked for patterns or markers in the employment separations to uncover possible hidden or external contributing factors.

We examined the steps in the recruitment process and the time required for each step, both in the Human Resources and Sheriff's Departments. We identified trends in the number of applicants and the drop-out rate of applicants at each step of the recruitment process.

We also surveyed Contra Costa cities, Bay Area counties, and BART regarding their recruitment and hiring practices, and compensation. This information was supplemented by data gathered from internet research for each agency.

We made comparisons of Base Salary for Recruits, Post-Academy Deputies, and Deputies with five years of experience, and also compared those salaries net of the employee share of retirement for both new and Classic PEPRA hires to roughly simulate and assess the County's competitiveness on take-home pay.

What follows is a report of our findings from this research, which we hope will better inform the County's contract negotiations.

The report is organized into four sections:

- I. Recruitment
- II. Retention
- III. Compensation
- IV. Departmental Impact

I. RECRUITMENT

Recruitment for Deputy Sheriff is done continuously through a partnership between the Office of the Sheriff and the Human Resources Department. All job applications for the Sheriff's Department are handled through the Human Resources Department's NeoGov Online system; however, the testing process is handled by the staff of the Administrative unit of the Sheriff's department.

The steps of the recruitment process include:

A. <u>Application Filing</u>: All applicants, including County employees, must apply online at www.cccounty.us/hr, and submit the required information as indicated on the job announcement by a final filing date. All applicants must clearly demonstrate that they meet the minimum qualifications provided on the job announcement.

Below is a summary of recruitments conducted in the last five years, showing the number of recruitments per year, the total and average number of applications received each year, the total and average number of applications accepted each year, and the application acceptance rate for each year.

| Year | # Recruit ments | # Apps Rec'd | AVG Apps Rec'd | # Apps Accepted | AVG Apps Accepted | Application Acceptance Rate |
|-------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2010 | 4 | 1,444 | 361 | 406 | 102 | 28% |
| 2011 | 10 | 3,360 | 336 | 841 | 84 | 25% |
| 2012 | 10 | 4,458 | 446 | 874 | 87 | 20% |
| 2013 | 12 | 5,480 | 457 | 859 | 72 | 16% |
| 2014 | 8 | 2,866 | 358 | 542 | 68 | 19% |
| 2015* | 8 | 2,105 | 263 | 440 | 55 | 21% |

^{*}Through 8/20/15

B. <u>Written Examination</u>: The written examination for Deputy Sheriff-Recruit may consist of the following areas: reading comprehension, vocabulary, and information processing/reasoning ability. It is scheduled on a monthly basis. The written examination is scored on a pass/fail basis. A passing score allows the candidate to progress to the next step but the score does not otherwise weigh into the final ranking.

Candidates are allowed to submit T-Score (overall score) results from other agencies that administer the POST PELLETB exam. A current T-score (within the last 12 months) of 48 or above allows a candidate to bypass the County's written exam and advance to the "Physical Agility" step.

C. Physical Agility Requirements: Candidates successfully completing the written test will immediately participate in a physical agility examination. The physical agility exam comprises running 500 yards, scaling a 6-foot wall, and dragging a 165 lb. dummy. The physical agility examination is scored on a pass/fail basis. A passing score allows the candidate to progress to the next step but the score does not otherwise weigh into the final ranking.

The written and physical agility examinations are held at the Law Enforcement Training Academy in Pittsburg.

- **D.** Oral Interview: An oral interview is then conducted by a Qualifications Appraisal Board in Martinez. The Board evaluates candidates in job-related areas. Candidates must receive a rating of at least 70 from a majority of the Board members to be ranked on the eligible list. (Weighted 100%). Rank on the eligible list is determined by the average oral board score and any veteran's credit for which individual competitors may qualify.
- **E.** <u>List Promulgation</u>: Applicants' scores are grouped into Certification Bands. Scores from 83 to 100 are placed in Band A; scores between 77 to 82.99 are placed in Band B; and scores between 70-76.99 are placed in Band C. The eligible list established from a recruitment will remain in effect for six months.
- **F.** <u>Eligible List Certified</u>: Candidates placed in Band A are referred to the Office of the Sheriff Administration Unit for further consideration.
- **G.** <u>Background Investigation</u>: The Office of the Sheriff Administrative Unit refers Band A candidates to the background unit for processing:
 - 1. Candidates are emailed a Personnel History Statement packet to complete and return within two weeks
 - 2. The Personal History Statement reviewed by the Unit Sergeant, who will determine if candidate will move forward in the process (2-3 weeks)

- 3. The candidate is invited to a preliminary interview to review information in Personal History Statement. The Sergeant will provide the Captain with pros and cons of the candidate interview.
- 4. The candidate is scheduled for a polygraph examination. The Sergeant will review and advise the Captain of findings.
- 5. The candidate's background is investigated (2-4 months)
- 6. The Captain and Assistant Sheriff meet with the candidate
- 7. The Sheriff's Office makes a conditional offer of employment
- 8. The candidate is scheduled for psychological and medical evaluation
- 9. The candidate is invited to a final interview with the Captain and Assistant Sheriff
- 10. The candidate is appointed to Recruit and scheduled to participate in the Basic Academy

Candidates may be disqualified at any of the preceding steps.

Appointees will be assigned to attend a Basic Academy approved by the California Commission of Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST). The Basic POST Academy program involves 26 weeks of intensive academic instruction on law enforcement procedures combined with a rigorous physical fitness-training segment. Upon successful completion of the Basic POST Academy, Deputy Sheriff-Recruits will graduate, be sworn in as Peace Officers, and will receive a salary increase to the first step of the Deputy Sheriff classification (\$5,789 - \$7,212).

The table below shows the time required to complete each step of the recruitment process and the number of candidates out of 1000 who pass and fail or withdraw at each step of the process. Most of this data is based on 12 recruitments that were conducted in FY 2014/15.

| | | | | Example | Using 1000 | Applicants |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|
| | | 2014 | 2014 | Step | Level | Cumulative |
| | Processing | Step Level | Cumulative | | Fail/DQ/ | Fail/DQ/ |
| Recruitment Step | Time in Days | Failure Rate | Failure Rate | Pass | Withdraw | Withdraw |
| Application Review | 30 | 19.0% | 19.0% | 810 | 190 | 190 |
| Appeal Period | 7 | | | | | |
| Testing Notification | 14 | | | | | |
| Appeared for Testing: | | 55.4% | 63.9% | 361 | 449 | 639 |
| Written | 20 | 34.7% | 76.4% | 236 | 126 | 764 |
| Physical Agility | 30 | 13.8% | 79.7% | 203 | 32 | 797 |
| Oral Examination | | 35.7% | 86.9% | 131 | 73 | 869 |
| Scoring, Ranking, Develop Band A List | | | | 131 | | |
| Personal History Statement | 30 | 21 70/ | 01.10/ | 89 | 42 | 960 |
| Pre Background Interview | 21 | 31.7% | 91.1% | 89 | 42 | 869 |
| Polygraph | 30 | 30.5% | 93.8% | 62 | 27 | 938 |
| Background investigation | 90 | 29.2% | 95.6% | 44 | 18 | 956 |
| Captain/Asst. Sheriff Prelim Interview | 10 | | 95.6% | 44 | 0 | 956 |
| Withdrew | | 39.7% | 97.3% | 27 | 17 | 973 |
| Psch/Medical Evaluation | 21 | 15.8% | 97.8% | 22 | 4 | 978 |
| Captain/Asst. Sheriff Final Interview | 10 | | 97.8% | 22 | <u>0</u> | 978 |
| | | | 100.0% | | 978 | |
| Total Processing Days: | 293 | | | | | |
| Expressed in months: | 9.8 | | | | | |
| Academy | 182 | | | | | |

Findings. The Workgroup found that:

- A. The recruitments require, on average, nearly 10 months to complete.
- B. The average annual number of applications received and accepted peaked in 2012 and 2013, and has since declined: applications received have declined by 40% and applications accepted, by 30%.
- C. The application acceptance rate (the ratio of applications accepted and applications received) has ranged from 16%-28% since 2010 and is currently at about 20%.
- D. Nearly half of the applicants fail to appear for the written exam. Of the applicants who submit T-Scores in lieu of taking the written exam, about half do not appear for the physical agility test. Consequently, within three

months of applying, more than 60% of the applicants withdraw from the recruitment.

- E. The recruitment success rate is estimated at 2.2%.
- F. Many of the applicants withdraw and reapply due to the County's practice of continuous examination.

II. RETENTION

High turnover is a vicious cycle, i.e., the worse it gets, the worse it gets. The repeating process of recruitment and training, along with low staffing levels, a shrinking array of assignments, and mandatory overtime over an extended period takes a toll on staff and leads to more turnover. Halting the trend can be as challenging as trying to stop a downhill train, especially in an environment of fierce industry competition for staff.

There are many factors that can contribute to high turnover and attrition; certainly compensation, the desirability of assignments, operating policies, equipment and technology, and employee morale are all such factors. In Contra Costa County, even the daily commute can be a contributing factor.

Summaries of deputy exit interviews cited many of these factors but the most common reason cited in the interviews was compensation and, in particular, takehome pay. Consequently, the Working Group focused its efforts on measuring the attrition problem and analyzing why it is occurring in terms of the County's competitiveness on take-home pay.

We measured the problem two different ways: (1) How many of the deputies and recruits who were hired in the last five years also separated during that same period, and (2) In the last five years, how many recruits and deputies were hired, and how many recruits and deputies separated or retired. Our findings on these two measurements follow.

Findings.

A. Analysis of recruits and deputies who were both hired AND who separated (not retired) between May 1, 2010 and April 30, 2015

- 1. Since May 2010, 342 recruits and laterals were hired and of those, 110 or 32% separated within five years of hire:
 - **Recruits**. The SO hired 299 deputy sheriff recruits and, of those, lost 99 during the same period (33%). Of the 99 recruits that separated:
 - o 64 had graduated from the academy to deputy. The chart below shows the time, in years, from the date of promotion at which the separations occurred. Most of the 64 separated 1-2 years from promotion, most likely because after one year as a deputy, they pass probation and meet the minimum lateral transfer experience requirement of other agencies.

| DEPUTY SHERIFF-40 HOUR | 64 |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Less than 1 | 12 |
| Greater than 1, Less than 2 | 35 |
| Greater than 2, Less than 3 | 13 |
| Greater than 3 | 4 |
| Grand Total | 64 |

- 32 of the 299 recruits separated without being promoted. It is assumed that the recruits opted to voluntarily resign in lieu of termination to preserve their standing to work in other agencies.
- o 3 recruits were reassigned to different job classes.
- Laterals. Between 5/1/10 and 5/1/15, the SO hired 43 lateral deputy sheriffs and lost 11 of those during the same period (26%).

B. Analysis of recruits and deputies who were hired OR who separated between May 1, 2010 and April 30, 2015:

1. In the last five years, 342 recruits and laterals were hired (299 were recruits and 43 were lateral hires). During that same period, 168

voluntarily separated (42 were recruits and 126 were post-academy deputies, and another 71 deputies and 61 higher ranking sworn personnel retired.

2. Of the 132 personnel who retired, 25 retired due to disability.

| FY | Deputy Sheriff/ Recruit Separations | Sworn Personnel* Retirements | Total |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 2010/11 | 16 | 42 | 58 |
| 2011/12 | 24 | 38 | 62 |
| 2012/13 | 28 | 19 | 47 |
| 2013/14 | 51 | 16 | 67 |
| 2014/15 | <u>49</u> | <u>17</u> | <u>66</u> |
| | 168 | 132 | 300 |
| * Deputy through (| Captain | | |

- 3. Of the 168 who separated:
 - 50 or 30% left within one year of hire
 - 80 or 48% left within two years of hire
 - 101 or 60% left within three years of hire
 - The remaining 40% had greater than three years longevity

| DEPUTY SHERIFF-40 HOUR | 126 |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Greater than 1, Less than 2 | 30 |
| Greater than 2, Less than 3 | 31 |
| Greater than 3 | 55 |
| Less than 1 | 10 |
| DEPUTY SHERIFF-RECRUIT | 42 |
| Greater than 3 | 2 |
| Less than 1 | 40 |
| Grand Total | 168 |

4. Below are summaries of the ethnicity and gender of the 132 deputies who separated during 2012-2015, when ethnicity and gender data was collected:

| Ethnicity | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | Total | Percent |
|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Caucasion | 12 | 31 | 9 | 17 | 69 | 48% |
| Black | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3% |
| Hispanic | 4 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 17% |
| A/P Islande | r | 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 5% |
| Filipino | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2% |
| Unknown | <u>5</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>36</u> | 25% |
| | 22 | 56 | 30 | 37 | 145 | 100% |

| Gender | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | Total | Percent |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Male | 18 | 52 | 26 | 30 | 126 | 87% |
| Female | <u>4</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>19</u> | <u>13%</u> |
| | 22 | 56 | 30 | 37 | 145 | 100% |

5. Of the 168 that separated, 130 or 78% left from the Detention assignment, with the specific breakdown shown below. Only two left from a Patrol assignment.

| | Left from Division | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| Left from Unit (Org) | (department) | Total |
| | COURT SYS CIVIL BUREAU | 4 |
| SHERIFF | SHERIFF COUNTY PATROL | 1 |
| | SHERIFF ORINDA POLICE | 1 |
| SHERIFF Total | | 6 |
| | Custody Services Adm | 4 |
| | DETENTION TRANSPORTATION | 4 |
| SHERIFF DETENTION | Marsh Crk Detention Facility | 28 |
| | Martinez Detention Center | 57 |
| | West Co Dention Facility | 37 |
| SHERIFF DETENTION Total | | 130 |
| SHERIFF POLICE SERVICES | Court Security Services | 19 |
| SHERIFF POLICE SERVICES | HSD SECURITY SVCS | 1 |
| SHERIFF POLICE SERVICES | Total | 20 |
| OTHER | Other | 12 |
| OTHER Total | | 12 |
| Grand Total | | 168 |

- 6. According to the Sheriff's exit interviews, the Contra Costa agencies that most frequently hired our deputies are: BART (22), Richmond PD (11), Antioch PD (10), Brentwood PD (7), Concord PD (9), San Ramon PD (8) and Pittsburg PD (6).
- 7. The Cities of El Cerrito, Moraga and Pleasant Hill, and the Counties of Sonoma and Santa Clara all report recruitment and/or retention problems with sworn personnel during the last three years.
- 8. The majority of recruits whose home addresses were 50+ miles away left to go to agencies outside of the Bay Area.
- 9. Since we began the study in May, another 29 deputies have voluntarily separated from County service.

III. Compensation

The Workgroup recognized that the influence of compensation on recruitment and retention is different for employees who were hired prior to the enactment of public employees' pension reform (PEPRA) than for new hires. Accordingly, we analyzed salary and employee share of retirement for both pre-PEPRA and post-PEPRA employees. For pre-PEPRA employees, we assumed five years longevity as a post-academy deputy sheriff and adjusted the salary step accordingly. For new hires, we used Step 1 of the post-academy deputy sheriff salary range.

A. In comparison to Contra Costa cities, Bay Area counties and BART, Contra Costa County is 14%-15% behind the market in net pay:

| | | | | CCC Relation | ссс |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| | Contra | | | to | Percent |
| Comparison Factors | Costa | Average | Median | Median | Rank |
| Population 2013 | 168,323 | 61,935 | 59,458 | 64.7% | 100.0% |
| Violent Crimes 2013 | 429 | 241 | 96 | 77.7% | 81.8% |
| Violent Crimes/Capita 2013 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 25.3% | 54.1% |
| Recruit Salary | \$3,771 | \$5,101 | \$5,198 | -37.8% | 9.5% |
| Post Academy Salary | \$5,789 | \$6,365 | \$6,284 | -8.6% | 20.8% |
| Year 5 Salary | \$7,002 | \$7,831 | \$7,866 | -12.3% | 16.6% |
| PEPRA EE Retirement Contribution | 17.2% | 12.2% | 11.7% | 31.9% | 100.0% |
| Legacy EE Retirement Contribution | 13.1% | 10.6% | 9.0% | 31.2% | 87.5% |
| Net Pay - PEPRA Using Post Acad Salary | \$4,793 | \$5,588 | \$5,517 | -15.1% | 12.5% |
| Net Pay - Legacy/Classic Using Year 5 Salary | \$6,086 | \$6,997 | \$6,934 | -13.9% | 16.6% |

^{*}See supporting data in Exhibit 2.

- B. In comparison to just those Contra Costa agencies that hire most of the County's deputies (Antioch, BART, Brentwood, Concord, Pittsburg, Richmond, and San Ramon), Contra Costa is nearly 25% behind them in net pay. (See Exhibit 3)
- C. 19 of the 24 competing agencies in our analysis are members of CalPERS.
- D. For most CCC Deputies with five years of service, the difference in methodology between the CalPERS and CCCERA FAS (final annual salary) results in a 2½ 5% difference in FAS in favor of CalPERS (all other things being equal) due to the education incentives. For long-term employees, the CalPERS FAS may be 5%-7½% higher than the CCCERA FAS due to longevity differentials.
- E. Non-retirement benefits offered by competing agencies (uniform allowance, academy tuition, health coverage, incentive pays, special assignment pays, etc.) are generally commensurate with the County's

benefits. However, only about half of the 15 agencies that responded to our survey offer longevity differentials.

- F. Most of the 15 agencies that responded to our survey require, like the Sheriff's Office, the use of in-field computers, electronic incident reporting, the wearing of body armor, and the carrying of tasers; and also provide or require training in community policing and preparedness activities.
- G. Only a handful of the 15 agencies provide or require body cameras or video cameras on the patrol cars.
- H. Some of the competing agencies offer or have previously offered hiring incentives such as a signing bonus or a bank of vacation hours at the time of hire.

IV. Departmental and Public Safety Impacts

There are broad and varied impacts in both the Custody and Field Operation Bureaus due to high attrition at the rank of Deputy Sheriff.

Custody Services Bureau

- Security and safety issues to include a reduction in facility searches for all types of contraband to include weapons and drugs due to limited staffing
- No second perimeter Deputy for West County on all shifts
- Reduced free time out of cells for inmates
- Reduced family visitation hours
- Escort Deputies being used to fill gaps in staffing, which reduces critical incident response capability within the facilities

Field Operations Bureau

- Possible implementation of mandatory "fair-share" overtime to address deputy fatigue
- Reduced enforcement activity less patrol beats are filled
- Increased response time for Priority 1 calls for service (avg. increase of ≈ 2 minutes in 2014)
- Increased sick leave usage (up 18%)
- Two vacant patrol beats, one in unincorporated Concord and Walnut Creek and one in East County
- No capability to do focused problem area enforcement due to the reassignment of the J- Team back to fill patrol beats.
- Reduced Marine Patrol functions (7 deputies had to be reassigned back to patrol. Now only *per diem* employees staff the Marine Patrol.)

Exhibit 1:

| DEPUTY SHERIFF RE | CRUITMENT | |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Application Date Range | FY 201 | 4/15 |
| | | |
| Step: Application Evaluation | | |
| Passed | 3,742 | 95.5% |
| Failed | 177 | 4.5% |
| Total | 3,919 | 100.0% |
| | | |
| Step: Written Examination | | |
| Passed | 1,264 | 33.8% |
| Failed | 418 | 11.2% |
| Not scored | 2,060 | 55.1% |
| Total | 3,742 | 100.0% |
| | | |
| Step: Physical Agility | | |
| Passed | 812 | 64.2% |
| Failed | 57 | 4.5% |
| Not scored | 395 | 31.3% |
| Total | 1,264 | 100.0% |
| | | |
| Step: Oral Examination | | |
| Passed | 787 | 96.9% |
| Failed | 1 | 0.1% |
| Not scored | 24 | 3.0% |
| Total | 812 | 100.0% |

Exhibit 2: Contra Costa County

Salary and Retirement Comparison for Deputy Sheriff and Recruit

Bay Area Counties, Contra Costa Cities & BART

| | | 0,000 | | | | | | | *************************************** | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|-------|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 2013 | | | | | | | PEPKA | | Legacy | |
| | 2013 | Violent | Crimes/ | | Post | Post-Academy Entry Level | Entry Level | | Employee | Entry Step | Employee | 5-Year Step |
| | Population | Crimes | 1,000 Capita | Recruit | Bottom | 5-Year | 희 | Range | Basic + COLA | Minus PEPRA | Basic + COLA | Minus LEGACY |
| Alameda County UI | 146,787 | 648 | 4.4 | 5,420 | 5,878 | 7,935 | 8,308 | 41% | 15.3% | 4,982 | 14.3% | 6,801 |
| Marin County UI | 68,488 | 66 | 1.4 | 6,112 | 6,429 | 7,796 | 7,796 | 21% | 13.8% | | 15.5% | 6,585 |
| Napa County UI | 26,899 | 39 | 1.4 | 5,881 | 6,172 | 8,181 | 8,181 | 33% | 12.8% | 5,385 | %0.6 | 7,445 |
| San Francisco County | N/A | N/A | N/A | 5,198 | 6,379 | 8,131 | 8,131 | 27% | 12.5% | 5,582 | 12.5% | 7,115 |
| San Mateo County UI | 64,615 | 310 | 4.8 | 6,084 | 6820 | 8526 | 8526 | 25% | 14.6% | 5,822 | 11.2% | 7,568 |
| Santa Clara County UI | 87,182 | 189 | 2.2 | 6,808 | 7328 | 8909 | 8909 | 22% | 10.8% | 6,540 | %0.6 | 8,107 |
| Solano County UI | 18,790 | 121 | 6.4 | 5,707 | 6347 | 7715 | 7715 | 22% | 12.0% | 5,585 | %0.6 | 7,021 |
| Sonoma County UI | 152,918 | 592 | 3.9 | 5,429 | 5744 | 6981 | 7747 | 35% | 14.1% | 4,935 | 11.6% | 6,171 |
| Antioch | 106,447 | 946 | 6.8 | 3,640 | 7352 | 8937 | 8937 | 22% | 11.7% | 6,491 | %0.6 | 8,133 |
| BART | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4,520 | 4746 | 5932 | 7580 | %09 | 12.0% | 4,176 | 80.6 | 5,398 |
| Brentwood | 54,301 | 88 | 1.6 | 4,853 | 2929 | 8225 | 8225 | 22% | 11.5% | 5,989 | %0.6 | 7,485 |
| Clayton | 11,439 | 2 | 0.2 | N/A | 4,845 | 2,890 | 5,890 | 22% | 11.7% | 4,277 | %0.6 | 5,360 |
| Concord | 125,464 | 407 | 3.2 | 3,461 | 6,190 | 7,524 | 7,524 | 22% | 12.0% | 5,447 | %0.6 | 6,847 |
| El Cerrito | 24,184 | 92 | 3.8 | 4,278 | 7,032 | 8,439 | 8,439 | 20% | 11.5% | 6,223 | 10.5% | 7,553 |
| Hercules | 24,381 | 22 | 6.0 | 5,910 | 6,221 | 7,212 | 7,212 | 16% | 11.7% | 5,492 | 9.0% | 6,563 |
| Martinez | 36,910 | 23 | 1.4 | 4,346 | 5,321 | 6,447 | 6,447 | 21% | 11.5% | 4,709 | %0.6 | 5,867 |
| Moraga | 16,664 | 11 | 0.7 | N/A | 6,035 | 7,335 | 7,335 | 22% | 11.7% | 5,328 | 10.0% | 6,602 |
| Pinole | 18,848 | 69 | 3.7 | 4,333 | 5,846 | 7,105 | 7,105 | 22% | 11.5% | 5,174 | 18.4% | 5,798 |
| Pittsburg | 66,387 | 185 | 2.8 | 4,898 | 5,879 | 7,502 | 7,802 | 33% | 11.5% | 5,203 | 10.0% | 6,752 |
| Pleasant Hill | 34,044 | 55 | 1.6 | 4,972 | 5,718 | 7,384 | 7,384 | 29% | 11.5% | 5,060 | 80.6 | 6,719 |
| Richmond | 107,341 | 1,112 | 10.4 | 4,000 | 8,298 | 9,572 | 9,572 | 15% | 12.3% | 7,281 | 12.0% | 8,423 |
| San Pablo | 29,893 | 200 | 6.7 | 5,973 | 7,368 | 8,956 | 8,956 | 22% | 11.5% | 6,521 | 12.3% | 7,854 |
| San Ramon | 74,434 | 27 | 0.4 | 4,333 | 7,212 | 9,014 | 9,014 | 25% | 12.3% | 6,329 | 80.6 | 8,203 |
| Walnut Creek | 66,149 | 37 | 9.0 | N/A | 6,833 | 8,302 | 8,302 | 21% | 11.7% | 6,033 | 9.0% | 7,555 |
| Contra Costa County UI | 168,323 | 429 | 2.5 | 3,771 | 5,789 | 7,002 | 7,213 | 25% | 17.2% | 4,793 | 13.1% | 980′9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average of Array | 61,935 | 241 | 3.2 | 5,111 | 6,365 | 7,831 | 7,960 | 25.7% | 12.2% | 5,588 | 10.6% | 6,997 |
| Median of Array | 59,458 | | 2.5 | 5,198 | 6,284 | 7,866 | 7,967 | 21.6% | 11.7% | | 90.6 | 6,934 |
| CCC Relationship to Median | 64.7% | 77.7% | 2.8% | -37.8% | -8.6% | -12.3% | -10.4% | 12.4% | 31.9% | -15.1% | 31.2% | -13.9% |

Exhibit 3: Contra Costa County

Salary and Retirement Comparison for Deputy Sheriff and Recruit

Agencies Who Hire Our Deputies Most Often

| | | 2013 | Violent | | | | | | PEPRA | | Legacy | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------|---|---------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | 2013 | Violent | Crimes/ | | Post- | Post-Academy Entry Level | Entry Le | vel | Employee | Entry Step | Employee | 5-Year Step |
| | Population | Crimes | Population Crimes 1,000 Capita Recruit Bottom | Recruit | Bottom | 5-Year | ፬ | Range | Basic + COLA | Minus PEPRA | Basic + COLA | Range Basic + COLA Minus PEPRA Basic + COLA Minus LEGACY |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antioch | 106,447 | 946 | 8.9 | 3,640 | 7,352 | 8,937 | 8,937 | 22% | 11.7% | 6,491 | %0.6 | 8,133 |
| BART | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4,520 | 4,746 | 5,932 | 7,580 | %09 | 12.0% | 4,176 | 80.6 | 5,398 |
| Brentwood | 54,301 | 88 | 1.6 | 4,853 | 6,767 | 8,225 | 8,225 | 22% | 11.5% | 5,989 | 80.6 | 7,485 |
| Concord | 125,464 | 407 | 3.2 | 3,461 | 6,190 | 7,524 | 7,524 | 22% | 12.0% | 5,447 | 80.6 | 6,847 |
| Pittsburg | 66,387 | 185 | 2.8 | 4,898 | 5,879 | 7,502 | 7,802 | 33% | 11.5% | 5,203 | 10.0% | 6,752 |
| Richmond | 107,341 | 1,112 | 10.4 | 4,000 | 8,298 | 9,572 | 9,572 | 15% | 12.3% | 7,281 | 12.0% | 8,423 |
| San Ramon | 74,434 | 27 | 0.4 | 4,333 | 7,212 | 9,014 | 9,014 | 25% | 12.3% | 6,329 | 80.6 | 8,203 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contra Costa County UI | 168,323 | 429 | 2.5 | 3,771 | 5,789 | 7,002 | 7,213 | 25% | 17.2% | 4,793 | 13.1% | 980′9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average of Array | 89,062 | 461 | 4.5 | 4,244 | 6,635 | 8,101 | 8,379 | 28.2% | 11.9% | 5,845 | 9.6% | 7,320 |
| Median of Array | 90,441 | 296 | 3.0 | 4,333 | 6,767 | 8,225 | 8,225 | 21.6% | 12.0% | 5,989 | 9.0% | 7,485 |
| CCC Relationship to Median | 46.3% | 46.3% 31.0% | -18.3% | -18.3% -14.9% | -16.9% | -17.5% | -14.0% 12.4% | 12.4% | 30.2% | -24.9% | 31.2% | -23.0% |