EXHIBIT #14

Lashun Cross

From:

jack pfister <jlpf2@att.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, January 07, 2015 7:56 AM

To:

Lashun Cross

Subject:

LP12-2073

COUNTY COUNTY

2015 JAN -7 A 8: 02

DEVEN EURO

I know that I am writing past the deadline set for review however due to illness I was not able to comment. I live in the ViewPointe neighborhood of single family homes located across the I-80 freeway from the refinery.

I want to let you know that the last time the supervisors allowed this refinery in Rodeo to make their changes, it caused an increase in NOISE level not only during the day but at night that still exists. An extremely loud clang at night.

This new upgrade for the refinery in Rodeo will cause noise exceeding the noise level allowed for any neighborhood.

Please have the refinery monitored for existing noise level and a complete noise evaluation should be made for this new project as it relates to the ViewPointe neighborhood before the refinery is allowed to upgrade.

Thank you for your time.

Donna G. Pfister 1070 Sandpoint Dr Rodeo, CA 94572 The Reverend Peter O. Champion 703 Mariposa Avenue, Rodeo, California 94572

D6 Alency Reiser Received @ Bos

September 23, 2014

Board of Supervisors Contra Costa County 651 Pine St., Room 107 Martinez, CA 94553

Re: Phillips-66 Refinery Propane Project

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am sorry not to be with you this morning to speak in person; my post-retirement career as a substitute teacher has taken off and several weeks ago I committed to teaching at John Sweet High School today.

As an ordained minister and resident of Rodeo, I write to express my deep concern about the propane recovery project that has been proposed by Conoco Phillips less than a mile from my home and those of my neighbors. The concerns I have are many, and though most of them are likely familiar to you I would like you to hear them once again.

First, the P-66 San Francisco Refinery has a horrible record as a neighbor and as a polluter. After the disastrous 1994 catacarb release, the refinery entered into a 30-year good neighbor agreement with Rodeo, Crockett and the John Swett School District. 5 years ago, Conoco Phillips unilaterally cancelled the agreement, despite the efforts of our communities and the recommendation of the Board of Supervisors. Community confidence in the refinery—already low—plummeted. Many of my neighbors have told me they believe the refinery has no commitment to our community's health and well-being. EPA statistics for 2012, released several weeks ago, show that our Rodeo refinery released more pollution than any other refinery in the state, and that in the year of the Chevron refinery fire!

Second, the refinery has planned to build its storage facilities on a soil liquefaction zone in spite of the danger of earthquakes such as our recent 6.1 temblor. Living on the same type of soil, I can tell you that the effects of the quake in our area were significantly stronger than those experienced by friends living as close as a mile away from us. Do we really want a facility that stores 600,000+ gallons of highly-explosive propane so close to the people of Rodeo and Crockett? As one citizen, I emphatically say, "No!"

Third, the Phillips plan calls f or transporting propane and butane by rail through heavily populated areas along the East Bay. A map of our area released in June shows that 76,000 residents of Richmond live within 1 mile of the tracks; this doesn't include residents of San Pablo, Pinole, Hercules, Rodeo and Crockett! Transporting crude oil (including incendiary Bakken crude from North Dakota) by rail greatly increases the threat to a large population.

Home: 510.245.7542 • Cell: 808.351.3268 • peterchamp@hotmail.com

Finally, in spite of the months which have passed since your decision to have Phillips 66 recirculate its DEIR, Phillips has made no discernable public effort to engage the Rodeo community in discussion. If past experience is any indication, Phillips will not come out and speak to the public about details of the project and our safety concerns. Instead, it makes vague economic threats to the community if it isn't granted carte blanche approval, along with equally vague and vastly inflated predictions of the social and economic benefits to our area.

I care deeply about the health and safety of our communities, and I also care for the health and safety of the hundreds of employees at the refinery. But I care more deeply about the health of our planet and fear the proposed plan will help accelerate irreversible climate-change impacts on our world. This morning, I ask you to demand that Conoco Phillips engage in fully transparent dialogue to address the many valid concerns of our communities before allowing them to break ground on this ill-conceived plan. Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

The Rev. Peter Champion